

SENIOR CITIZEN STUDY

County of Suffolk
New York

Prepared for..
Suffolk County Legislature



October 1, 1987

Prepared by..
Suffolk County Planning Department
Hauppauge, New York

SENIOR CITIZEN STUDY
PREPARED FOR
THE SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATURE

Suffolk County Planning Department
Hauppauge, New York
October 1, 1987

SUFFOLK COUNTY LEGISLATURE

1 Gregory J. Blass Presiding Officer	9 Joseph Rizzo
2 Edward P. Romaine	10 Philip Nolan
3 John J. Foley	11 Patrick Mahoney
4 Rose Caracappa	12 Sondra Bachety
5 Steven Englebright	13 Gerard J. Glass
6 Donald R. Blydenburgh	14 Louis T. Howard
7 Michael D'Andre	15 Wayne Prospect
8 Steven Levy	16 Tony Bullock
	17 Jane Devine
	18 Michael O'Donohoe

SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

John F. Luchsinger
Chairman

Gilbert L. Shepard
Vice Chairman

Dennis Lynch
Samuel Lester
Patricia A. McCrann
Maurice J. O'Connell
Richard C. Larsen
George R. Gohn

Robert N. Martin
Richard Ward
Vincent A. Wick
Lloyd L. Lee
Stephen M. Jones
Mardooni Vahradian

SUFFOLK COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Lee E. Koppelman
Director

Arthur H. Kunz
Assistant Director

Carol E. Moor Walsh
Project Coordinator

Intro. Res. No. 2305-86

Laid on Table 10/28/86

Introduced by Legislator Foley, D'Andre, Caracappa, Bullock, Levy, Romaine, Blydenburgh, Rizzo, Nolan, Mahoney, Bachety, Prospect, O'Donohoe, Blass, Englebright, Glass, Howard, Devine

RESOLUTION NO. 1533 - 1986, DIRECTING A STUDY
OF THE INCIDENCE AND NEED FOR ASSISTANCE TO
ENABLE SENIOR CITIZENS ADVANCING IN AGE TO
CONTINUE LIVING IN THEIR OWN HOMES

WHEREAS, the Suffolk County Legislature recognizes its responsibility for assisting senior citizens to continue to experience the high quality of life available in Suffolk County; and

WHEREAS, this Legislature is aware of the continuous expression of desire on the part of its senior residents to remain living in their own home; and

WHEREAS, this desire has been expressed individually, by community groups, by the New York State Governor's Conference on Aging and by the White House Conference on Aging; and

WHEREAS, the ability for senior citizens advancing in age to remain as a productive component of our community would enhance their sense of personal worth and thereby promote the dignity of such individuals and lend a measure of stability to our communities; and

WHEREAS, it is the design of the Suffolk County Legislature to implement a meaningful program of assistance for senior citizens advancing in age; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Director of Planning be and he is hereby requested and directed to forthwith undertake a study to determine:

- a) the number of senior citizens 65 years and older residing in their own homes within Suffolk County,
- b) the dispersion by community, and
- c) their economic status and such other data that would enable the Suffolk County Legislature to formulate and approve a method of financial relief or support to enable one of Suffolk's greatest living resources to remain homeowners.

and be it further

RESOLVED, that the study is to be presented to the Legislature within six months from the date this resolution is duly approved.

DATED: November 12, 1986

APPROVED BY:


County Executive of Suffolk County

Date of Approval: November 19, 1986

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Many thanks to the following who enabled the production of this study: Suffolk County Office of Aging for access to the mailing list; Suffolk County Data Processing for programming the sample and producing the mailing list; Suffolk County General Services for all of the printing and ensuring a correct bulk mailing; Planning Department summer interns Robert Guilmain and Andrew Frank for their relentless attention to detail during the mailing process and data entry.

And most important, thanks to the Senior Citizens in Suffolk County who took the time to complete a complicated six page questionnaire. Without the responses of our Senior Citizens this study would not have been possible.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
A PROFILE OF SUFFOLK'S SENIOR CITIZENS.....	1
Population.....	1
Future Growth.....	2
Living Arrangements.....	3
Racial and Ethnic Composition.....	4
Income and Poverty.....	5
Housing.....	7
SENIOR CITIZEN SURVEY METHODOLOGY.....	9
SENIOR CITIZEN SURVEY ANALYSIS.....	13
Persons in Households.....	13
Household Income.....	13
Health Insurance.....	16
Housing Characteristics.....	17
Annual Cost of Living in One's Own Home.....	19
Alternative Living Arrangements.....	21
Survey Comments.....	23
CONCLUSIONS.....	26
APPENDIX TABLES.....	31

TEXT TABLES

Page

DEMOGRAPHICS TABLES

1.	Total Population and Persons 65 and Over, 1980 and Estimated Total Population and Persons 65 and Over, 1987 by County and Town.....	1
2.	Suffolk County Population by Ten Year Age Cohorts, 1960 to 2010.....	2
3.	Persons 65 and Over in Households by Household Type by County and Town, 1980.....	3
4.	Suffolk County: Race and Spanish Origin for Persons Aged 65 and Over, 1980.....	4
5.	Suffolk County: Median Family Income in 1979 for Persons Aged 65 and Over by Town and County.....	5
6.	Suffolk County: Family and Non-family Households with Householder 65 Years and Over and Persons 65 and Over by Poverty Status in 1979.....	6
7.	Suffolk County: Occupied Housing Units with Householder or Spouse 65 Years and Over, 1980.....	7

SENIOR CITIZEN SURVEY RESULTS

8.	Senior Citizen Study: Zip Codes with the Ten Highest Total Number of Responses and Zip Codes with the Ten Highest Response Rates.....	11
9.	Average Household Income by Persons per Household.....	14
10.	Household Income from Various Sources.....	15
11.	Health Insurance Purchased by the Respondents to the Senior Citizen Survey.....	16
12.	Ten Highest and Ten Lowest Average Housing Values by Zip Code.....	18
13.	Average Number of Rooms by Persons per Household.....	18
14.	Average Cost of Living in One's Own Home.....	20
15.	First, Second, and Third Choices of Alternative Living Arrangements.....	21

APPENDIX TABLES

Page

I.	Total Population and Persons 65 and Over, 1980 and Estimated Total Population and Persons 65 and Over 1987 by County, Town, Village and Census Designated Places (CDPs).....	31
II.	Persons 65 and Over in Households by Household Type, 1980 by County, Town, Village, and Census Designated Places (CDPs).....	36
III.	Senior Citizen Survey Questionnaire Tabulations	
A.	Senior Citizen Study: Number of Questionnaires Mailed and Received by Zip Code.....	44
B.	Senior Citizen Study: Number of Questionnaires Mailed and Received and Zip Codes Ranked by Number and Percent Received.....	47
IV.	Copy of the Senior Citizen Survey Questionnaire....	50
V.	Senior Citizen Survey Questionnaire Analysis Tables	
A.	Persons, Persons per Household, and Relationship.....	56
B.	Household Income	
1.	Houshold Income by Age of Respondent and Number of Persons per Houshold.....	57
2.	Proportion of Household Income From Various Sources by Number of Persons per Household.....	58
C.	Health Insurance	
1.	Health Insurance Purchased by Age of Respondents to the Senior Citizen Survey.....	59
D.	Housing Characteristics	
1.	Senior Citizen Study: Average Housing Value Ranked by Zip Code from Surveys Which Reported Housing Values.....	60
2.	Senior Citizen Survey: Average Number of Rooms by Persons per Household.....	63
3.	Senior Citizen Survey: Number of Homes by Age of Home.....	63
4.	Distribution of the Number of Bedrooms by the Number of Bathrooms in Owned Homes.....	64
5.	Year Home Bought by Age of Home.....	65
E.	Rental Costs	
1.	Average Monthly Rent and Utility Costs By Number of Bedrooms.....	66

APPENDIX TABLES

Page

V.	Senior Citizen Survey Questionnaire Analysis Tables (Cont'd.)	
F.	Annual Cost Of Living in Own Home	
1.	Average, Maximum, and Minimum Real Estate Taxes Paid by Senior Citizen Households, Amount and Rank by Zip Code.....	67
2.	Real Estate Tax Deductions Distributed by Value of Homes.....	70
3.	Average, Maximum, and Minimum Cost of Total Costs, Real Estate Taxes, Home Owner's Insurance, Utilities and Maintenance by Value of Home.....	71
G.	Alternative Living Arrangements	
1.	First, Second and Third Choices of Alternative Living Arrangements by Age of Respondent.....	72
2.	Percent Distribution of First, Second and Third Choices of Alternative Living Arrangements by Age of Respondent.....	73
3.	First Choice of Alternative Living Arrangements by Age of Respondent Ranked by Highest Percent.....	74
4.	Projected Timeframe for Future Alterna- tive Living Arrangements by Age of Respondent.....	74

INTRODUCTION

In November 1986, the County Executive signed a resolution which the Suffolk County Legislature had passed that directed the Suffolk County Planning Department to conduct a study that would result in recommendations to enable the County's Senior homeowners to remain in their own homes. The study is to include information on the number of Senior Citizens aged 65 and over residing in each community within the county and other such data that would enable the Suffolk County Legislature to formulate and approve a method of financial relief for those senior citizens.

U. S. Census data gathered in 1980 is the basis of the population information included in this report. The senior population data was expanded to 1987 estimates utilizing the 1987 Population Survey compiled by the Long Island Regional Planning Board.

Additional data was compiled from survey information received from Suffolk's Seniors. A 6 page questionnaire was mailed to 13,000 senior citizens which resulted in a 7.7% response rate.

A PROFILE OF SUFFOLK'S SENIOR CITIZENS

POPULATION

Suffolk County is typical of the nation in its growing senior citizen population (persons aged 65 and over). In 1987 the senior population was estimated to be 140,672 persons representing 10.4% of the County's population. The number of older citizens was estimated to increase by almost 25,000 persons or an 21.4% increase of seniors from 1980 to 1987 while the number of persons under 65 grew by a mere 3.9%.

The following table summarizes the total estimated 1987 population by town as well as the estimated 1987 population aged 65 and over. Appendix 1 contains the same 1987 data for all of the Villages and Census Designated Places in the county.

TOTAL POPULATION AND PERSONS 65 AND OVER, 1980
AND ESTIMATED TOTAL POPULATION AND PERSONS 65 AND OVER, 1987
BY COUNTY AND TOWN

County and Town	1980									
	Total		1987		Number of Persons Aged:					
	Population		Estimated		65+ 65+ 75-84 65-74					
	%		65+ Pop.							
	Estimate	Change	Pop.	% of Tot.	Total	% of Tot.				
	1987	80-87	1987	1987 Pop.	Persons	No.	1980 Pop	No.	No.	No.
SUFFOLK COUNTY	1,355,034	5.51%	140,672	10.38%	1,284,231	115,828	9.02%	9,989	36,070	69,769
Babylon Town	209,380	2.90%	19,888	9.50%	203,483	16,344	8.03%	1,378	4,993	9,973
Brookhaven Town	405,844	11.19%	38,976	9.60%	365,015	30,654	8.40%	2,315	9,229	19,110
East Hampton T.	15,902	13.35%	3,457	21.74%	14,029	2,661	18.97%	159	744	1,758
Huntington Town	204,273	1.37%	17,927	8.78%	201,512	15,809	7.85%	1,560	5,024	9,225
Islip Town	304,868	2.00%	27,042	8.87%	298,897	23,355	7.81%	2,098	7,657	13,600
Riverhead Town	22,199	9.66%	5,149	23.20%	20,243	3,902	19.28%	344	1,156	2,402
Shelter Is. T.	2,403	16.03%	799	33.25%	2,071	620	29.94%	35	188	397
Smithtown Town	120,113	2.96%	12,029	10.02%	116,663	9,939	8.52%	1,137	3,348	5,454
Southampton Town	49,049	13.68%	9,968	20.32%	43,146	8,100	18.77%	571	2,413	5,116
Southold Town	21,003	9.55%	5,445	25.93%	19,172	4,444	23.18%	392	1,318	2,734

In 1985 there were 50,600 older men and 78,387 older women, or a ratio of 155 women for every 100 men. This ratio increased to 259 women for every 100 men in the 85 and over category.

FUTURE GROWTH

The County's older population is expected to grow in the future. The following table projects the growth of the county's elderly population through the year 2010. The most rapid increase in the elderly population is expected between 2010 and 2030 when the "baby boom" generation reaches 65. In 1970 the proportion of older persons of the total population was 7.6% and by 2010 will grow to 14.5% of the total population. Nationally, this proportion is expected to grow to 21.2% by 2030.

SUFFOLK COUNTY POPULATION BY TEN YEAR AGE COHORTS, 1960 TO 2010

Age Cohort	1960	1970	1980	1990	2000	2010
5-14	137,084	269,453	233,092	170,241 194,999	221,580	207,277
15-24	65,327	161,483	234,540	188,591	161,057	186,472
25-35	97,923	148,026	195,539	271,048	229,587	193,092
35-44	99,529	151,588	170,392	214,135	297,840	253,914
45-54	69,236	119,005	142,827	153,756	194,908	276,770
55-64	53,182	77,576	107,621	124,039	135,701	176,535
65+	56,814	85,726	115,828	152,449 141,717	192,450 120,043	234,026
Total Population	666,784	1,127,950	1,284,231	1,395,458	1,527,466	1,614,631
% Tot. Pop.						
65 & Over	8.5%	7.6%	9.0%	10.9%	12.6%	14.5%

Source: United State Census of Population 1960, 1970, 1980 and Official Population Projection's for N.Y.S. Counties prepared by the N.Y.S. Department of Commerce, State Data Center, April 1985.

LIVING ARRANGEMENTS

There were 62,000 housing units in this region with a head of household or spouse aged 65 or over in 1980. Eighty percent of those units were owner occupied and twenty percent were renter occupied.

Of the 115,828 persons aged 65 and over enumerated in the 1980 census, 104,122 lived in households while the remaining 10.1% lived in group quarters. The table, Suffolk County: Persons 65 and Over in Households by Household type by Town, 1980, contains information for family and household relationships for all persons aged 65 and over. Appendix Table 2 contains the same data for all of Suffolk's Villages and CDP's.

SUFFOLK COUNTY:
PERSONS 65 AND OVER IN HOUSEHOLDS
BY HOUSEHOLD TYPE BY TOWN, 1980

PERSONS AGED SIXTY-FIVE AND OVER														
County & Town	1980 TOTAL POPULATION	Total Number of Elderly	% of Total Pers.	In Family Households					In Non-Family Households			In Group Quarters		
				Total	House- holder	Spouse	Other Rel.	Non- Rel.	Total	Male HH	Fem. HH	Non- Rel.	Total	Inmate Other
Suffolk Co.	1,284,231	115,828	9.02%	79,115	35,822	22,552	19,804	937	25,007	5,065	19,011	931	11,706	10,980 726
Babylon	203,483	16,344	8.03%	11,979	5,334	3,036	3,403	206	3,470	680	2,614	176	895	732 163
Brookhaven(1)	365,015	30,654	8.40%	21,629	9,979	6,605	4,820	225	7,150	1,438	5,486	226	1,875	1,729 146
East Hampton	14,029	2,661	18.97%	1,844	956	655	212	21	788	181	580	27	29	29 0
Huntington	201,512	15,809	7.85%	11,406	4,924	2,904	3,460	118	3,185	583	2,490	112	1,218	1,092 126
Islip	298,897	23,355	7.81%	14,480	6,150	3,621	4,478	231	4,295	913	3,184	198	4,580	4,421 159
Riverhead	20,243	3,902	19.28%	2,588	1,340	937	303	8	1,007	218	747	42	307	282 25
Shelter Is.	2,071	620	29.94%	458	242	183	33	0	146	28	117	1	16	16 0
Smithtown	116,663	9,939	8.52%	5,886	2,289	1,452	2,100	45	1,542	250	1,241	51	2,511	2,444 67
Southampton(1)	43,146	8,100	18.77%	5,741	2,991	2,047	642	61	2,260	538	1,660	62	99	78 21
Southold	19,172	4,444	23.18%	3,104	1,617	1,112	353	22	1,164	236	892	36	176	157 19

(1) The 1980 Census data for the E. Moriches-Eastport CDP was improperly assigned to the Towns of Brookhaven and Southampton resulting in a discrepancy in those respective town totals.

RACIAL AND ETHNIC COMPOSITION

In 1980, 95.6% of persons 65+ were white, 3.5% were black, and .9% were other races (including American Indian, Eskimo, Asian and Pacific Islanders). Persons of Hispanic origin (who may be of any race) represented 1.7% of the older population. The following table contains racial information for Suffolk County.

SUFFOLK COUNTY: RACE AND SPANISH ORIGIN FOR PERSONS AGED 65 AND OVER, 1980

	Suffolk County	
	Number	Percent
Total Persons	115828	100.00%
White	110744	95.61%
Black	4114	3.55%
American Indian, Eskimo & Aluet	139	0.12%
Asian, Pacific Islander	379	0.33%
Other	452	0.39%
Spanish Origin	2330	2.01%
White	1906	
Black	83	

INCOME AND POVERTY

The median family income for persons aged 65 and over in Suffolk County was \$14,062 in 1979. This income information is based on the latest census figures. Shelter Island Town had the highest median senior family income while the town of Brookhaven had the lowest median income of \$12,668.

Senior citizens have higher incomes today, however the relative distribution of the median incomes should not have changed since 1979. The Table, Median Family Income in 1979 For Persons Aged 65 and Over by Town and County details the 1980 Census income information.

SUFFOLK COUNTY:
MEDIAN FAMILY INCOME IN 1979
FOR PERSONS AGED 65 AND OVER
BY TOWN AND COUNTY

Community	Median Family Income
SUFFOLK COUNTY	\$14,062
Babylon Town	\$13,038
Brookhaven Town	\$12,668
East Hampton Town	\$16,770
Huntington Town	\$17,304
Islip Town	\$14,135
Riverhead Town	\$13,062
Shelter Island Town	\$17,596
Smithtown Town	\$14,640
Southampton Town	\$14,268
Southold Town	\$16,405

Source: U. S. Census, 1980 - Summary Tape File 4B

In 1979, 8,177 older persons were below the poverty level. Of the 60,082 senior households in 1979, 9.4% were below the poverty level and 9.6% were classified as "near poor" (income between poverty level and 125% of poverty level). Almost 20% of the elderly households was poor or near poor.

SUFFOLK COUNTY:
FAMILY AND NON-FAMILY HOUSEHOLDS WITH HOUSEHOLDER
65 YEARS AND OVER AND PERSONS 65 AND OVER
BY POVERTY STATUS IN 1979

	Households	Percent of Households
	-----	-----
Household with:		
Income Below Poverty Level	5,663	9.4%
Family Household	1,415	2.4%
Non-family Household	4,248	7.1%
Income between 100 to 124% of Poverty Level	5,768	9.6%
Family Household	1,114	1.9%
Non-family Household	4,654	7.7%
Income 125% of Poverty Level and Above	48,651	81.0%
Family Household	33,284	55.4%
Non-family Household	15,367	25.6%
All Senior Households	60,082	100.0%
Family Household	35,813	59.6%
Non-family Household	24,269	40.4%
	Persons	Percent of Persons
	-----	-----
Persons 65 and over (1)	104,670	100.0%
Above Poverty Level	96,493	92.2%
Below Poverty Level	8,177	7.8%

(1) For whom poverty status was determined.

HOUSING

In Suffolk County of the 62,000 housing units with a head of household or spouse 65 years or over, 80% were owner occupied and 20 percent renter occupied.

SUFFOLK COUNTY:
OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS WITH HOUSEHOLDER OR SPOUSE
65 YEARS AND OVER, 1980

	Number -----	Percent of Total -----
Total Occupied Housing Units	62,057	100.0%
Owner Occupied Housing Units	49,334	79.5%
Renter Occupied Housing Units	12,723	20.5%
Households with No Vehicle Avail.	14,156	22.8%
Households with No Telephone	1,440	2.3%

The following information is for the Nassau-Suffolk Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area (SMSA). Although this data includes Nassau County as well as Suffolk County, the data does indicate the financial status of the senior homeowner.

Regionally, the median value of homes owned by older persons in 1980 was \$48,100 (\$38,600 for Blacks and \$41,400 for Hispanics). About 72% of older homeowners in 1980 owned their homes free and clear.

The housing of the seniors in this region is generally older than the balance of the region's housing. About 32% of homes owned by older persons in 1980 were built prior to 1940 (15% for younger homeowners).

Thirty percent was the median proportion of household income spent by households headed by older persons, for mortgage payments, real estate taxes, homeowner's insurance and utilities or rent. Elderly renters had the highest median proportion (44.9%) of their household income for housing. The median income of senior renter occupied households was \$7,372.

The elderly pay a larger proportion of their household income for housing than younger householders; among homeowners without a mortgage (25% vs 14%), homeowners with a mortgage (29% vs 22%), and renters (45% vs 29%).

The high cost of housing in this region becomes painfully vivid when comparable national figures are examined. This region's senior homeowners with no mortgage are spending an additional 9% of their annual income on housing than the national average, seniors with a mortgage pay an additional 3% of their annual income and renters pay an additional 13% of their annual income for housing.

SENIOR CITIZEN SURVEY METHODOLOGY

In order to provide the Suffolk County Legislature with the information they requested, the Planning Department had to develop a methodology through which it could obtain current data relating to the senior population in Suffolk County. Determining a data sample which would provide the department with respondents who met the criteria of owning their own home and being 65 or over was difficult due to the lack of appropriate information. The only data base which was readily available was the mailing list used by the Suffolk County Office of the Aging.

The Office of Aging's mailing list is based on the senior citizen identification cards issued by that office. This list provided a data base of persons aged 65 and over, however, there was no way to restrict the mailing to homeowners alone.

The mailing list is produced by the Suffolk Data Processing Department and could therefore be manipulated for the survey's purpose.

The mailing list cannot be considered a random sample of the county's entire senior population because it is a "self selected" list which means only senior citizens capable of applying for a senior ID card are included in the sample. The other failing of the mailing list and therefore the sample is that there is no way in which to determine the tenure (home ownership status) of the members in the sample. This sample methodology was the most time and cost effective

survey basis and was therefore utilized for this study.

At the time of the Planning Department's request, the Office of Aging's mailing list contained approximately 175,000 addresses. The Data Processing Department produced mailing labels for every tenth address on the mailing list. The mailing list is maintained in zip code order making bulk mailing possible.

The resulting 17,500 mailing labels were edited to remove more than one label per address, those labels addressed to adult homes, and those labels which were obviously addressed to apartment complexes. The Planning Department maintains inventories of apartment complexes and adult homes which allowed that editing.

On June 19, 1987, 13,382 surveys were mailed to 103 zip codes within Suffolk County. August 7, 1987 was the last receipt date for surveys to be included in the study. One thousand thirty one questionnaires were received which resulted in a 7.7% response rate.

The 11746 Zip Code of Huntington Station and Dix Hills resulted in the largest number of questionnaires returned, 43.

The Laurel Post Office (11948) had the highest rate of return, 33.3%. The following table summarizes the responses for the top ranked Zip Codes by highest response rate and highest absolute number of responses.

SENIOR CITIZEN STUDY:

ZIP CODES WITH THE TEN HIGHEST TOTAL NUMBER OF RESPONSES AND
ZIP CODES WITH THE TEN HIGHEST RESPONSE RATES

ZIP CODES WITH THE TEN HIGHEST NUMBER OF RESPONSES

Rank	Post Office	Zip Code	No. of Surveys		% of Surveys Returned
			Mailed	Rec'd	
1	Hunt. Sta., Dix Hills	11746	576	43	7.47%
2	Lindenhurst	11757	530	38	7.17%
3	West Babylon	11704	327	36	11.01%
4	Ridge	11961	328	34	10.37%
5	Bay Shore, Kismet etc	11706	582	33	5.67%
6	Huntington, Hale. etc	11743	481	33	6.86%
7	West Islip	11795	359	33	9.19%
8	Patchogue, E. Patch.	11772	459	29	6.32%
9	Hampton Bays	11946	291	26	8.93%
10	Northport, Ft Salonga	11768	192	25	13.02%

ZIP CODES WITH THE TEN HIGHEST RESPONSE RATES

Rank	Post Office	Zip Code	No. of Surveys		% of Surveys Returned
			Mailed	Rec'd	
1	Laurel	11948	9	3	33.33%
2	Westhampton	11977	21	5	23.81%
3	Hauppauge	11788	42	10	23.81%
4	East Marion	11939	18	4	22.22%
5	East Moriches	11940	28	6	21.43%
6	Brightwaters	11718	53	10	18.87%
7	Water Mill	11976	17	3	17.65%
8	Melville	11747	34	6	17.65%
9	Remsenburg	11960	18	3	16.67%
10	Amagansett	11930	26	4	15.38%
11	Great River	11739	13	2	15.38%

Appendix Table 3, Senior Citizen Study: Number of Questionnaires Mailed and Received, Zip Codes Ranked by Number and Percent, contains complete information for all zip codes surveyed and their respective response rates.

The survey results were arranged in a data base format and analyzed with a LOTUS software package. The following section contains the resultant survey summary tables and narrative analysis.

SENIOR CITIZEN SURVEY ANALYSIS

The survey information was broken into eight components; persons per household, household income, health insurance, housing characteristics, rental costs, annual cost of living in home, alternative living arrangements and comments. A sample of the questionnaire is included as Appendix 4 and Appendix 5 contains all of the survey analysis tables.

PERSONS IN HOUSEHOLDS

There were 1,028 respondents who provided household information. The average number of persons per household was 1.95. The average age of respondents was 69.8 based on 954 responses. The average age of the second person in the household was 65.5 based on 739 persons for whom information was provided. Six hundred sixty four of the second persons were listed as either wives, husbands or spouses with average ages of 66.2, 70.4 and 67.2, respectively. Third persons were listed 146 times with 56 sons with an average age of 32.6, 46 daughters with an average age of 31.4 and 14 mothers with an average age of 87.9.

HOUSEHOLD INCOME

The average household income for all respondents in the survey was \$26,865. One person households had the lowest average household incomes with four or more person households the second lowest, and three persons households with the highest average household incomes. The following table summarizes the average household income for various size households.

AVERAGE HOUSEHOLD INCOME BY PERSONS PER HOUSEHOLD

Persons Per Household	Households ----- No. %	Average Household Income	Maximum Income in Range	Minimum Income in Range
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
One	197 22.5%	\$14,246	\$65,400	\$1,000
Two	542 62.0%	\$28,283	\$142,000	\$1,200
Three	97 11.1%	\$35,022	\$175,000	\$4,288
Four or >	38 4.3%	\$28,438	\$79,000	\$2,100
All HH	874 100.0%	\$26,865	\$175,000	\$1,000

Two person households comprised 62% of the respondents to the survey. One person households were the second largest component of the results with 22.5%. Both one and two person average household incomes decreased with an increase in the age of the respondent.

The 25 households with respondents over 85 years old had the highest average household income, \$31,865. The assumption that all 85+ households would have such high average incomes is incorrect in that seniors who are 85 or over and are responding to a 6 page questionnaire are a self selected group of exceptionally sharp individuals who planned very well for their futures.

The survey asked about sources of household income. Income source choices were salary, social security, pension, and investments. The purpose of this inquiry is to determine the financial security of the households.

There were 117 one source of income households; 41 received 100% of the household income from salaries, 66 received 100% from social security, 9 received 100% from

pensions, and 1 household received 100% of its income from investments.

HOUSEHOLD INCOME FROM VARIOUS SOURCES

Household Income	All Households			
	Household Income			
	No.	Average	Maximum	Minimum
100% Salary	41	\$43,005	\$93,000	\$2,100
100% Social Security	66	\$10,670	\$24,400	\$1,596
100% Pension	9	\$22,188	\$29,904	\$0
100% Investments	1	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$0
S. S. & Pension				
50% or more S. S.	161	\$15,827	\$47,968	\$5,412
Less than 50% S. S.	60	\$26,067	\$53,000	\$13,000
S. S. & Investment				
50% or more S. S.	46	\$15,032	\$25,463	\$5,936
Less than 50% S. S.	21	\$36,414	\$109,600	\$0
S. S., Pension & Inv.				
50% or more S. S.	83	\$19,626	\$41,808	\$9,000
Less than 50% S. S.	206	\$34,870	\$97,436	\$10,300
SS, Sal., Pens, & Inv.				
50% or more S. S.	5	\$27,579	\$34,000	\$19,660
Less than 50% S. S.	54	\$50,452	\$118,000	\$18,264
S. S., Salary & Pen.				
50% or more S. S.	7	\$23,842	\$39,576	\$15,768
Less than 50% S. S.	43	\$37,265	\$89,400	\$12,780
S. S., Salary, & Inv.				
50% or more S. S.	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Less than 50% S. S.	23	\$42,238	123,000	\$19,400
S. S. & Salary				
50% or more S. S.	35	\$16,301	\$34,500	\$1,200
Less than 50% S. S.	54	\$34,225	\$127,500	\$1,700
No Social Security				
50% or more Salary	35	\$59,198	\$175,000	\$9,089
Less than 50% Salary	13	\$29,478	\$65,000	\$7,536

The largest number of households had household incomes which were a combination of social security, pension and investments. Two hundred eighty nine households had this combination of income and of those households social security comprised less than half of the household income for 206 of those households. The second largest number of households had household incomes which were a combination of social security and pension. Two hundred twenty one households had this combination of income and of those households social security comprised more than half of the household income for only 161 of those households.

HEALTH INSURANCE

The participation of senior citizens in health insurance plans is an indication of the senior citizens awareness of the consequences of a catastrophic illness. The survey questioned the purchase of Medicare Parts A and B, additional insurance through a pension plan, as well as purchase of "wraparound" health insurance. There were 895 responses to the health insurance questions.

HEALTH INSURANCE PURCHASED BY RESPONDENTS OF THE SENIOR CITIZEN SURVEY

Combinations of Health Insurance Purchased	Total	%
-----	-----	-----
Medicare A & B + Pension Ins.	187	20.9%
Medicare A & B + Wraparound	163	18.2%
Medicare A & B	108	12.1%
Medicare A & B + Pension Ins. + Wraparound	87	9.7%
Pension Ins.	83	9.3%
Medicare A + Pension Ins.	55	6.1%
None	41	4.6%
Medicare A	40	4.5%
Medicare A + Pension + Wraparound	26	2.9%
Pension Ins. + Wraparound	26	2.9%
Medicare A + Wraparound	25	2.8%
Medicare B + Pension Ins.	18	2.0%
Wraparound	16	1.8%
Medicare B + Wraparound	7	0.8%
Medicare B + Pension Ins. + Wraparound	7	0.8%
Medicare B	6	0.7%
TOTAL	895	100.0%

The largest number of seniors (20.9%) have Medicare A and B with additional health insurance through their pension plan. The second highest proportion (18.2%) purchase Medicare A and B plus wraparound insurance. The third ranked group who comprise 12.1% of the respondents purchase both Medicare A and B. These three groups comprise more than 51% of the sample group. A little more than 4% have no health insurance at all. Appendix 5 contains a table which summarizes the combinations of health insurance purchased by age of respondents to the senior citizen survey.

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS

According to the survey which contained 737 responses on housing value, the average housing value is \$153,772. The housing values ranged from a minimum of \$10,000 to a maximum of \$800,000. The following table contains the ten highest and lowest ranked zip codes by average value of home. In some cases the small sample is not a true indication of value in the community. Appendix 5 contains the complete list of zip codes in rank order by highest average housing value. The maximum and minimum housing value for each zip code is also included in the table.

TEN HIGHEST AND TEN LOWEST AVERAGE HOUSING VALUES
BY ZIP CODE

Highest Average Housing Value			Lowest Average Housing Value		
Rank	Post Office	Value	Rank	Post Office	Value
1	Cold Spring Harbor	\$400,000	97	Peconic	\$50,000
2	Shelter Island	\$325,000	96	Aquebogue	\$60,000
3	Great River	\$300,000	95	Wyandanch	\$75,000
4	Water Mill	\$300,000	94	Calverton	\$76,333
5	Shelter Is. Hgths.	\$275,000	93	Riverhead	\$78,889
6	Hunt., Halesite	\$272,500	92	Holbrook	\$82,500
7	Northport	\$263,810	91	Wading River	\$83,750
8	Pt. Jefferson	\$262,500	90	Middle Island	\$90,000
9	Quogue	\$237,500	89	Amityville	\$92,308
10	Greenlawn	\$127,500	88	Central Islip	\$92,500

The average housing values by zip code are consistent with the housing values reported by the 1980 Census. The following table provides information on the average number of rooms by persons per household. This information is useful when analyzing the efficient utilization of existing housing units.

AVERAGE NUMBER OF ROOMS BY PERSONS PER HOUSEHOLD		
Persons per Household	Number of Households	Average No. of Rooms
1	233	5.96
2	547	6.48
3	95	6.89
4	20	7.75
5	10	8.10
6	6	8.42
7	0	0.00
8	3	10.33
9	1	9.00
10	0	0.00
Total	915	6.46

The table above indicates under utilization of the existing housing stock. The one person household has on the average a six room home. Appendix 5 contains the distribution of the number of bedrooms by the number of bathrooms in owned

homes. Of the 915 homes surveyed, 536 households or 58.6% of the households in the survey had more than one bathroom and 529 of those households had 2 or more bedrooms. This data indicates a possibility for home sharing, renting rooms with bath, or in an ideal setting, the creation of an accessory apartment.

The average age of the homes in this survey is 33 years. The largest number of homes were bought between 1955 and 1959 and the homes are between 28 and 32 years old. The second largest number of homes were bought between 1950 and 1954 and the homes are between 33 and 37 years old.

Appendix 5 contains the table Year Home Bought by Age of Home. This data shows that the majority of the homes are over 23 years old indicating that the seniors are living in aging housing stock. This points out the senior's need for continuing maintenance on their homes to assure a safe living environment as well as maintaining their investment.

ANNUAL COST OF LIVING IN ONE'S OWN HOME

The components of the costs of living in one's own home that were considered for this study are: real estate taxes, home owner's insurance, utilities, and maintenance. The survey indicated that the majority of homes were owned free and clear by the seniors therefore mortgage payments were not considered as a cost in this analysis. Appendix 5 contains three tables with information regarding the cost of living in one's own home: Average, Maximum and Minimum Real Estate Taxes Paid by Senior Citizen Households by Zip Code; Real Estate Tax Deductions distributed by the Value of Homes; and, The Average, Maximum, and Minimum Total Cost (Real Estate Taxes, Home Owner's Insurance, Utilities, and Maintenance) by Value of Home.

The average real estate taxes paid by the seniors in Suffolk County is approximately \$2,500 with a maximum of \$10,000 and a minimum of \$170. In considering real estate taxes, the type and number, if any, of real estate tax deductions have to be considered. The survey asked for information on the types of real estate tax deductions that are taken by the senior citizens. The questionnaire asked about the following real estate tax deductions: Senior Citizen, Veteran, and Disability.

No deductions were claimed by 45% of the households. Forty one percent of the households use the veteran's deduction. Senior Citizen deductions are taken by 8.6% of the households and the remaining 5% take a combination of two or more of the above deductions. Of the homes valued at less than \$50,000, 72% claimed no type of real estate tax deduction. The highest proportion of Senior Deductions (20.7%) is taken by households with homes valued between \$50,000 and \$99,999. The highest proportion of Veteran's deductions (52.1%) is taken by households with homes valued between \$150,000 to 199,999.

AVERAGE COST OF LIVING IN ONE'S OWN HOME	
Type of Cost	Average
-----	-----
Real Estate Taxes	\$2,612
Home Owner's Insurance	\$456
Utilities	\$2,238
Maintenance	\$1,791
 Total Cost	 \$7,097
Average Value of Homes	\$159,600

Suffolk's average homeownership senior citizen pays more than \$500 per month to live in a \$160,000 home with no mortgage. If the home is valued at less than \$50,000 the living costs are half that. Those persons living in homes valued at over \$300,000 are paying one and one half times the average living costs.

ALTERNATIVE LIVING ARRANGEMENTS

The question concerning alternative living arrangements was asked to determine what future planning, if any, is being considered by the seniors. Appendix 5 contains detailed summaries of the preferred alternative living arrangements as well as projected timeframes for the alternatives by age of respondent. The following table contains the alternative living arrangement choices that were offered the respondents to this question, "In the event that you could no longer afford your own home, which living alternative would you choose?"

FIRST, SECOND AND THIRD CHOICES OF ALTERNATIVE LIVING ARRANGEMENTS

Alternative Living Arrangements	Choice		
	First	Second	Third
Sell home and buy Smaller home.	105	76	45
Sell home and live in apartment.	111	115	49
Sell home & live with child or other	37	44	35
Alter home to include apartment.	75	42	32
Remain in home and rent rooms.	26	46	28
Share home.	24	23	29
Reverse equity mortgage.	42	24	26
Sell home & buy coop. or condominium.	48	62	43
Sell home & move into a retirement village	48	69	62
Sell home and move out of state.	169	84	59
Move into a continuing care retirement com.	19	26	30
Congregate care.	1	6	4
TOTAL	705	617	442

Selling the home and moving out of state to a less expensive area was the first alternative choice for 24% of the respondents. The remaining 76% of respondents preferred to stay in Suffolk County and chose alternatives that would enable them to stay. Selling the home and living in an apartment was the second choice with selling the home and buying a smaller home as a close third. More than fifty percent of the respondents chose one of those three alternatives as their first alternative choice. Only one tenth of a percent of the respondents chose congregate care as their first alternative choice and only 2.7% chose a continuing care retirement community as their first choice. Low responses for congregate care and continuing care retirement communities may in part be attributed to general misunderstanding of the concepts of congregate and continuing care.

When asked how soon the alternative might be necessary, the average time was 6 years. The present age of the respondent did not seem to have any relationship to the projected timeframe for the alternative living arrangement. All of the respondents, regardless of age, perceived the future to be between 5 and 6.5 years.

SURVEY COMMENTS

The last section of the survey asked the senior citizens for their opinions. They were asked their opinions as to which payments were the most burdensome, which payments were the most unfair, and what government should be doing for them so that they can remain in their homes.

The overwhelming response in each category revolved around two subjects: real estate taxes in general and specifically school taxes; and utility costs generally with LILCO the major target for complaints. The same comments were made over and over again: they had not had children in school for more than 20 years and had paid enough taxes already.

In most instances the answers to the questions as to which payments were the most burdensome and which payments were the most unfair were the same, or the "complimentary duo" of taxes and utilities. Although school taxes were the most unpopular tax; real estate tax, income tax, local tax, sewer tax, and sales tax also got their lumps. When the utilities were commented upon, LILCO received the greatest amount of comment however telephone costs and cable television costs were also mentioned.

The third largest concern of the senior respondents is health care. This concern was expressed by comments on health insurance costs, medical costs, and the costs of prescriptions. Other concerns include: food costs, cost of

living adjustments for social security, notch victims, home insurance, home maintenance, and inflation.

In the Comments section of the questionnaire the respondents spoke out. They called on the government to do the following:

- * Stop government waste.
- * Collect back taxes from illegal rentals.
- * Help lower health costs and cover more health costs.
- * Provide lower cost housing soon.
- * Social Security, Pensions, and Interest should not be taxed.
- * Allow two family conversions.
- * Provide more police protection.
- * Improve public transportation.
- * Control rent.
- * Provide low cost apartments.
- * Help pay health insurance.
- * Provide prescriptions for \$2 at 65.
- * Spend less overseas.
- * Eliminate school taxes for seniors.
- * Provide non-profit housing e.g. Diocese of Rockville Ctre.
- * Reduce budget for armaments.
- * Find other sources for real estate taxes, reduce utilities.
- * Increase social security for Notch victims.
- * Stop gasoline tax, LI shouldn't pay for NYC transit.
- * Take over LILCO.

- * Provide catastrophic medical care.
- * Have social security meet the inflation rate.
- * Decrease officials salaries.
- * Continue meals on wheels and public health nursing.
- * Medicare should pay for nursing home care.
- * Support senior housing.
- * Freeze school taxes at the present level.
- * Support development of alternative energy.
- * Change the basis for school taxes so they are not based on property values.
- * Institute twenty four hour elder-day care.
- * Mandate school budgets voted on only once a year.
- * Take sales tax off necessary items such as soap.
- * Allow monthly payments of real estate taxes.

CONCLUSIONS

In 1980 in Suffolk County, eighty percent of housing units occupied by persons aged 65 and over were owner occupied. Today, Suffolk's average homeownership Senior Citizen lives in a home valued at approximately \$160,000 and pays a little more than \$200 per month for real estate taxes. An overwhelming majority of these citizens have already paid for their homes and therefore no longer pay mortgage payments. Average monthly living expenses relating to the costs of living in one's own home still average more than \$500 per month even without a mortgage payment.

The senior citizens major concern is paying the ever increasing real estate taxes which consistently outpace their fixed incomes. Suffolk's senior citizens are living in homes which contain large amounts of equity. This is a resource which must be examined when considering options for maintaining people in their own homes. People who are house poor should be helped to convert the equity in their homes into a source of income.

Converting equity into income can be achieved in a variety of ways, including: allowing accessory apartments within existing single family structures, allowing home sharing, and reverse equity mortgages. Although Suffolk County does not have the legal ability to change zoning regulations to allow conversions, the county could actively

advocate zoning changes specifically through citizen and local official's education. A reverse equity mortgage program is already in place in the county, however there are so many questions concerning reverse equity mortgages that the county could do more in the dispersal of information and public education.

Another way in which the county may be able to help seniors is to help them pay their real estate taxes. The county could sell a bond issue with the purpose to loan monies to senior citizens for the payment of real estate taxes. Upon payment of the real estate taxes through the bond issue, a lien would be placed against the property. The lien would be satisfied when the property was sold or the owner died, whichever comes first. The lien would include payment of both principal and interest, however the interest rate should be considerably lower than market rate because it would be a municipal bond issue.

Health related problems were another issue of concern for the senior citizens who responded to the survey. Requests were made that the Meals On Wheels program and Public Health Nursing be continued. Comments were also made requesting the establishment of day care for seniors.

Home maintenance is a problem for some seniors. Seniors cannot remain in their homes when they can no longer do home maintenance themselves and cannot find appropriate

personnel to do it for them. Concerns include finding honest, qualified and fairly priced maintenance personnel.

Our senior citizens as employers are experiencing the same employment problems that the manufacturing and service industries are experiencing, the shortage of entry level and service personnel. The support services needed by most senior citizens so that they can remain in their own homes can be performed by semi-skilled service personnel. These service personnel cannot afford to live in Suffolk County.

The county can attack the lack of service personnel with a two pronged approach: by promoting affordable housing which will encourage the retention of service personnel, and by increasing encouragement of the young retired to return to the work force in the service industry.

Many retirees will not return to the work force because of the income tax disincentives. Rather than paying the returning employees, the county could set up a "Work Bank" which would be managed like the Red Cross's "Blood Bank".

Employees would volunteer their time and perform home maintenance chores, housekeeping chores, etc. for senior citizens in their own homes who cannot do these things for themselves. Initially the county would have to set up a fund which would cover the hours donated by the volunteers in the event that the "Work Bank" was unsuccessful.

Ideally, when the original volunteers become unable to

perform maintenance and housekeeping chores for themselves then other, younger retirees, will be donating to the "Work Bank" and therefore perpetuating the program. The beauty of this type of program is that as the senior population grows the "Work Bank" will naturally grow with it. Also, as in any volunteer program, there is a general feeling of accomplishment and satisfaction.

Senior citizens will be helping each other and the recipients of the help should feel more secure in having a fellow senior citizen in their home as opposed to a complete stranger. And finally, this type of program will start to change the attitudes of the elderly in accepting help from others because this program will not be perceived as charity but as future "savings" for that rainy day in the event that the help is needed.

It is important that the county provide relief for its senior citizens, however that relief should be in a form in which the seniors can help themselves. A number of the respondents to the questionnaire stated that they felt government owed them nothing and that they had planned to take care of themselves in the future. Every segment of the population is entitled to self dignity.

The senior population is beginning to grow and will continue to be a larger and larger proportion of the total population. The inevitability of the "baby boom" generation

getting older must be considered by any regulating governmental body when considering government assistance. Any government assistance must be measured for it's future impact as well as the present.

County government must support senior housing and housing alternatives. The senior population cannot be considered an entity unto itself because as with any segment of the population it is an integral part of the whole. The support by the County of local governments that are trying to initiate any type of affordable, specialty or senior citizen housing must be actively pursued.

TOTAL POPULATION AND PERSONS 65 AND OVER, 1980
AND ESTIMATED TOTAL POPULATION AND PERSONS 65 AND OVER, 1987
BY COUNTY, TOWN, VILLAGE, AND CENSUS DESIGNATED PLACES

1 9 8 0

County or Town	Village or CDP	Percent Change of Tot.		1987 Estimated 65+ Pop.		Number of Persons Aged:					
		Population Estimate 1987	Pop. 80-87	Pop. 1987	% of Tot. 1987 Pop.	Total Persons	% of Tot.				
							65+	85+	75-84	65-74	
							No.	'80 Pop	No.	No.	No.
Suffolk County		1,355,034	5.51%	140,672	10.38%	1,284,231	115,828	9.02%	9,989	36,070	69,769
Babylon Town		209,380	2.90%	19,888	9.50%	203,483	16,344	8.03%	1,378	4,993	9,973
Amityville (U)		43	0.00%	3	7.82%	43	3	6.98%	0	0	3
Amityville V.		9,297	2.43%	1,744	18.76%	9,076	1,519	16.74%	217	497	805
Babylon V.		12,500	0.90%	1,714	13.71%	12,388	1,515	12.23%	166	486	863
Copliague		21,454	6.57%	2,795	13.03%	20,132	1,709	8.49%	128	483	1,098
Deer Park		30,643	0.82%	2,207	7.20%	30,394	1,953	6.43%	156	612	1,185
East Farmingdale		6,134	11.08%	465	7.57%	5,522	373	6.75%	24	96	253
Gilgo, Oak Bch., Captree Is.		418	0.00%	43	10.29%	425	39	9.18%	1	17	21
Lindenhurst V.		27,177	0.96%	2,613	9.62%	26,919	2,309	8.58%	142	704	1,463
N. Amityville		13,333	1.47%	1,295	9.71%	13,140	1,138	8.66%	73	341	724
N. Babylon		18,751	-1.41%	1,405	7.49%	19,019	1,271	6.68%	98	379	794
N. Lindenhurst		11,553	0.36%	807	6.98%	11,511	717	6.23%	46	216	455
W. Babylon		44,695	7.18%	4,174	9.34%	41,699	3,250	7.79%	298	1,005	1,947
Wyandanch		13,375	1.21%	622	4.65%	13,215	548	4.15%	29	157	362
Brookhaven Town		405,844	11.19%	38,967	9.60%	365,015	30,654	8.40%	2,315	9,229	19,110
Belle Terre V.		872	5.57%	75	8.55%	826	63	7.63%	4	19	40
Bellport V.		2,876	2.39%	370	12.85%	2,809	322	11.46%	33	96	193
Blue Point		4,340	5.96%	570	13.14%	4,096	480	11.72%	46	161	273
Brookhaven		3,041	-0.30%	328	10.77%	3,050	293	9.61%	19	77	197
Brhvn. National Lab		205	0.00%	9	4.38%	205	8	3.90%	1	2	5
Center Moriches		6,950	21.87%	1,170	16.83%	5,703	692	12.13%	54	202	436
Centereach		31,660	5.06%	1,749	5.52%	30,136	1,485	4.93%	96	442	947
Coram		29,838	20.55%	2,192	7.35%	24,752	1,622	6.55%	177	433	1,012
E. Moriches-Eastport		4,830	19.61%	709	14.67%	4,890	640	13.09%	76	206	358
E. Patchogue		20,873	15.07%	3,032	14.52%	18,139	2,350	12.96%	189	692	1,469
E. Shoreham		4,091	7.18%	226	5.52%	3,817	188	4.93%	12	50	126
Farmingville		14,793	10.41%	1,147	7.76%	13,398	927	6.92%	50	254	623
Fire Island		88	6.02%	12	13.51%	83	10	12.05%	0	2	8
Gordon Heights		1,621	1.95%	189	11.63%	1,590	165	10.38%	23	43	99
Holbrook		5,313	8.45%	316	5.95%	4,899	260	5.31%	17	83	160
Holtsville		11,497	3.83%	633	5.51%	11,073	544	4.91%	23	155	366
Lake Grove V.		10,277	6.04%	896	8.72%	9,692	754	7.78%	42	209	503
Lake Ronkonkoma		15,317	7.07%	1,817	11.87%	14,305	1,514	10.58%	108	450	956
Manorville		8,171	24.79%	1,682	20.59%	6,548	401	6.12%	19	116	266

TOTAL POPULATION AND PERSONS 65 AND OVER, 1980
AND ESTIMATED TOTAL POPULATION AND PERSONS 65 AND OVER, 1987
BY COUNTY, TOWN, VILLAGE, AND CENSUS DESIGNATED PLACES

1 9 8 0										
		Percent	1987		Number of Persons Aged:					
County or Town	Population Estimate 1987	Change of Tot. Pop. 80-87	Estimated 65+ Pop.		Total Persons	65+ 85+ 75-84 65-74				
			Pop. 1987	% of Tot. 1987 Pop.		% of Tot. '80 Pop No.	No.	No.	No.	

Brookhaven Town (Cont'd.)										
Mastic	11,396	9.44%	784	6.88%	10,413	639	6.14%	35	186	418
Mastic Beach	9,447	13.57%	1,401	14.83%	8,318	1,100	13.22%	63	335	702
Medford	21,961	7.56%	1,049	4.78%	20,418	870	4.26%	42	216	612
Middle Island	8,149	42.89%	1,024	12.56%	5,703	639	11.20%	135	195	309
Miller Place	8,863	12.52%	781	8.81%	7,877	619	7.86%	51	186	382
Mount Sinai	8,436	27.99%	537	6.36%	6,591	374	5.67%	23	67	284
N. Bellport	7,804	5.01%	352	4.51%	7,432	299	4.02%	17	70	212
N. Patchogue	7,517	5.49%	712	9.47%	7,126	602	8.45%	50	171	381
Old Field V.	909	9.65%	119	13.12%	829	97	11.70%	5	27	65
Patchogue V.	11,243	-0.43%	1,767	15.72%	11,291	1,583	14.02%	118	547	918
Poospatuck	203	0.00%	19	9.39%	203	17	8.37%	4	5	8
Poquott V.	692	17.69%	127	18.30%	588	96	16.33%	9	21	66
Pt. Jefferson Sta.	17,623	3.61%	1,217	6.91%	17,009	1,048	6.16%	67	357	624
Pt. Jefferson V.	7,390	9.79%	1,143	15.47%	6,731	929	13.80%	188	345	396
Ridge	10,916	21.60%	4,022	36.85%	8,977	2,513	27.99%	103	751	1,659
Rocky Point	7,690	9.67%	1,431	18.61%	7,012	1,164	16.60%	77	388	699
Selden	18,749	8.63%	1,317	7.02%	17,259	1,081	6.26%	55	309	717
Setauket-E. Setauket	11,283	10.88%	681	6.04%	10,176	548	5.39%	36	184	328
Shirley	19,923	10.24%	1,383	6.94%	18,072	1,119	6.19%	50	300	769
Shoreham V.	582	4.86%	55	9.49%	555	47	8.47%	0	10	37
Sound Beach	8,733	8.20%	1,158	13.27%	8,071	955	11.83%	58	319	578
Stony Brook	25,428	13.55%	46	0.18%	22,393	1,308	8.19%	101	429	778
SUNY @ Stony Brook }										
Yaphank	4,254	51.28%	721	16.94%	2,812	425	15.11%	46	160	219

East Hampton Town	15,902	13.35%	3,457	21.74%	14,029	2,661	18.97%	159	744	1,758
Amagansett	2,415	10.37%	540	22.35%	2,188	362	16.54%	24	102	236
East Hampton V.	1,911	1.33%	563	29.48%	1,886	496	26.30%	45	178	273
Gardiners Is.			0		4	0	0.00%	0	0	0
Montauk	3,102	10.04%	601	19.39%	2,828	489	17.29%	22	132	335
Napeague-Hither Hills	245	62.25%	69	28.21%	151	38	25.17%	1	13	24
Northwest Harbor	2,922	18.83%	597	20.43%	2,459	448	18.22%	20	124	304
Sag Harbor V.	906	1.23%	236	26.05%	895	208	23.24%	18	61	129
Springs	3,831	19.68%	699	18.23%	3,197	520	16.27%	19	110	391
Wainscott	570	35.39%	152	26.63%	421	100	23.75%	10	24	66

Huntington Town	204,273	1.37%	17,927	8.78%	201,512	15,809	7.85%	1,560	5,024	9,225
Asharoken V.	690	8.66%	96	13.95%	635	79	12.44%	3	20	56

TOTAL POPULATION AND PERSONS 65 AND OVER, 1980
AND ESTIMATED TOTAL POPULATION AND PERSONS 65 AND OVER, 1987
BY COUNTY, TOWN, VILLAGE, AND CENSUS DESIGNATED PLACES

1 9 8 0

County or Town	Population Estimate 1987	Percent Change of Tot. Pop. 80-87	1987		Total Persons	Number of Persons Aged:					
			Estimated 65+ Pop.			65+	85+	75-84	65-74		
			Pop. 1987	% of Tot. 1987 Pop.		No.	% of Tot. '80 Pop	No.	No.	No.	
Huntington Town (Cont'd.)											
Centerport	6,612	0.55%	726	10.98%	6,576	644	9.79%	82	202	360	
Cold Spring Harbor	5,228	-2.02%	551	10.55%	5,336	502	9.41%	41	157	304	
Commack	13,214	-3.46%	667	5.05%	13,687	616	4.50%	57	210	349	
Dix Hills	27,455	2.85%	1,418	5.17%	26,693	1,230	4.61%	102	384	744	
E. Northport	19,823	-1.80%	1,790	9.03%	20,187	1,626	8.05%	135	502	989	
Eatons Neck	1,613	2.48%	94	5.84%	1,574	82	5.21%	6	21	55	
Eatons Neck C. G. Sta.	58	0.00%	0	0.00%	58	0	0.00%	0	0	0	
Elwood	11,678	-1.43%	799	6.84%	11,847	723	6.10%	85	238	400	
Fort Salonga	6,386	10.87%	496	7.77%	5,760	399	6.93%	33	122	244	
Greenlawn	14,179	2.24%	1,615	11.39%	13,869	1,409	10.16%	196	485	728	
Huntington	22,170	2.04%	2,788	12.57%	21,727	2,437	11.22%	280	792	1,365	
Huntington Bay V.	1,777	-0.34%	143	8.05%	1,783	128	7.18%	14	27	87	
Huntington Station	29,625	2.98%	2,944	9.94%	28,769	2,550	8.86%	195	770	1,585	
Lloyd Harbor	3,555	4.41%	287	8.07%	3,405	245	7.20%	25	72	148	
Melville	10,050	12.92%	604	6.01%	8,139	436	5.36%	45	133	258	
Northport V. A. Hosp.	309	-52.46%	83	26.91%	650	156	24.00%	21	42	93	
Northport V.	7,950	3.91%	953	11.99%	7,651	818	10.69%	87	289	442	
S. Huntington	14,579	-1.85%	1,338	9.18%	14,854	1,216	8.19%	124	418	674	
Suffolk Dev. Ctr.	1,200	-18.92%	43	3.55%	2,241	71	3.17%	1	13	57	
West Hills	6,038	-0.54%	493	8.16%	6,071	442	7.28%	28	127	287	
Islip Town											
Bay Shore	304,868	2.00%	27,042	8.87%	298,897	23,355	7.81%	2,098	7,657	13,600	
Bayport	11,351	5.33%	2,509	22.10%	10,784	1,670	15.49%	152	598	920	
Bohemia	9,183	-1.07%	802	8.73%	9,282	723	7.79%	55	233	435	
Brentwood	9,314	0.06%	1,099	11.80%	9,308	980	10.53%	99	313	568	
Brightwaters V.	45,097	1.75%	2,716	6.02%	44,321	2,381	5.37%	186	769	1,426	
Central Islip	3,200	-2.62%	420	13.14%	3,286	385	11.72%	29	107	249	
CI Psych. Ctr.	16,957	-2.21%	1,094	6.45%	19,734	1,136	5.76%	65	337	734	
E. Islip	1,742	-20.60%	1,012	58.10%	2,194	1,137	51.82%	200	512	425	
Fire Island	14,586	5.30%	1,365	9.36%	13,852	1,156	8.35%	164	375	617	
Great River	257	8.90%	18	7.13%	236	15	6.36%	0	2	13	
Hauppauge	1,599	-1.96%	108	6.74%	1,631	98	6.01%	7	41	50	
Holbrook	10,232	0.86%	554	5.41%	10,196	492	4.83%	27	161	304	
Holtsville	20,756	6.53%	929	4.48%	19,483	778	3.99%	34	216	528	
Holtsville	2,662	9.01%	67	2.53%	2,442	55	2.25%	5	11	39	
Islip	14,680	9.24%	1,640	11.17%	13,438	1,339	9.96%	98	395	846	
Islip Terrace	5,966	6.76%	423	7.08%	5,588	353	6.32%	26	114	213	
Lake Ronkonkoma	20,626	5.41%	1,285	6.23%	19,692	1,094	5.56%	46	299	749	

TOTAL POPULATION AND PERSONS 65 AND OVER, 1980
AND ESTIMATED TOTAL POPULATION AND PERSONS 65 AND OVER, 1987
BY COUNTY, TOWN, VILLAGE, AND CENSUS DESIGNATED PLACES

1 9 8 0

County or Town	Population Estimate 1987	Percent Change of Tot. Pop. 80-87	1987		Total Persons	Number of Persons Aged:						
			Estimated Pop. 1987	65+ Pop. % of Tot. 1987 Pop.		65+				85+	75-84	65-74
						No.	% of Tot. '80 Pop	No.	No.	No.		
Islip Town (Cont'd.)												
N. Bay Shore	35,133	0.32%	2,229	6.35%	35,020	1,982	5.66%	175	572	1,235		
N. Great River	11,789	3.27%	586	4.97%	11,416	506	4.43%	36	126	344		
Oakdale	8,072	-0.22%	866	10.73%	8,090	574	7.10%	35	205	334		
Ocean Beach V.	164	5.81%	33	20.25%	155	28	18.06%	0	8	20		
Pilgrim Psych. Ctr.	2,949	-23.70%	1,726	58.54%	3,865	2,018	52.21%	217	841	960		
Saltaire V.	40	14.29%	4	9.61%	35	3	8.57%	0	0	3		
Sayville	12,696	5.69%	1,756	13.83%	12,013	1,079	8.98%	117	387	575		
W. Bay Shore	5,241	2.40%	436	8.32%	5,118	380	7.42%	19	110	251		
W. Islip	29,177	-1.21%	2,546	8.73%	29,533	2,299	7.78%	256	712	1,331		
W. Sayville	8,602	5.09%	818	9.51%	8,185	694	8.48%	50	213	431		
Riverhead Town												
Aquebogue	22,199	9.66%	5,149	23.20%	20,243	3,902	19.28%	344	1,156	2,402		
Calverton-Roanoke	1,006	12.40%	166	16.53%	895	132	14.75%	17	34	81		
Jamesport	5,660	14.30%	2,276	40.22%	4,952	1,514	30.57%	153	444	917		
Northville	1,142	6.83%	285	24.96%	1,069	238	22.26%	23	67	148		
Riverhead	3,227	24.93%	447	13.85%	2,583	319	12.35%	31	84	204		
Wading River	6,516	2.79%	1,323	20.30%	6,339	1,148	18.11%	105	335	708		
	4,648	5.52%	652	14.02%	4,405	551	12.51%	15	192	344		
Shelter Island Town												
Dering Harbor V.	2,403	16.03%	799	33.25%	2,071	620	29.94%	35	188	397		
Shelter Island Heights	17	6.25%	5	28.03%	16	4	25.00%	0	0	4		
Shelter Island	1,054	12.13%	469	44.49%	940	373	39.68%	19	116	238		
	1,332	19.46%	325	24.43%	1,115	243	21.79%	16	72	155		
Smithtown Town												
Commack	120,113	2.96%	12,029	10.02%	116,663	9,939	8.52%	1,137	3,348	5,454		
Fort Salonga	21,304	1.29%	1,179	5.53%	21,032	1,038	4.94%	81	407	550		
Hauppauge	4,137	9.16%	370	8.93%	3,790	302	7.97%	14	94	194		
Head of the Harbor V.	10,600	-1.52%	646	6.09%	10,764	585	5.43%	44	187	354		
Kings Park	1,196	16.91%	125	10.41%	1,023	95	9.29%	9	36	50		
Kings Park Psych. Ctr.	16,417	1.77%	1,706	10.39%	16,131	1,271	7.88%	176	430	665		
Lake Ronkonkoma	2,520	-6.01%	1,487	59.00%	2,681	1,411	52.63%	181	490	740		
Nesconset	4,628	6.66%	386	8.35%	4,339	323	7.44%	23	95	205		
Nissequogue V.	11,977	11.87%	730	6.09%	10,706	582	5.44%	31	163	388		
Smithtown	1,647	12.65%	92	5.60%	1,462	73	4.99%	2	20	51		
St. James	31,758	2.76%	2,849	8.97%	30,906	2,473	8.00%	302	850	1,321		
Village of the Branch	12,154	0.26%	2,330	19.17%	12,122	1,674	13.81%	264	545	865		
	1,775	3.98%	131	7.36%	1,707	112	6.56%	10	31	71		

TOTAL POPULATION AND PERSONS 65 AND OVER, 1980
AND ESTIMATED TOTAL POPULATION AND PERSONS 65 AND OVER, 1987
BY COUNTY, TOWN, VILLAGE, AND CENSUS DESIGNATED PLACES

		1 9 8 0									
		Percent		1987		Number of Persons Aged:					
		Change	Estimated	65+ Pop.		65+ 85+ 75-84 65-74					
County	Population	of Tot.									
or	Estimate	Pop.	Pop.	% of Tot.	Total	% of Tot.					
Town	Village or CDP	1987	80-87	1987	1987 Pop.	Persons	No.	'80 Pop	No.	No.	No.
<hr/>											
Southampton Town	49,049	13.68%	9,968	20.32%	43,146	8,100	18.77%	571	2,413	5,116	
Bridgehampton	2,244	15.61%	388	17.27%	1,941	299	15.40%	23	95	181	
East Quogue	3,890	6.05%	923	23.72%	3,668	776	21.16%	31	235	510	
Hampton Bays	8,073	11.26%	1,993	24.69%	7,256	1,598	22.02%	97	499	1,002	
Hampton Park	1,511	13.52%	204	13.48%	1,331	160	12.02%	9	43	108	
North Haven V.	755	2.30%	221	29.32%	738	193	26.15%	15	55	123	
North Sea	1,460	24.68%	294	20.11%	1,171	210	17.93%	12	59	139	
Noyack	3,476	30.82%	956	27.51%	2,657	652	24.54%	42	165	445	
Quogue	631	3.61%	134	21.17%	609	115	18.88%	7	43	65	
Quogue V.	1,354	40.17%	300	22.17%	966	191	19.77%	17	75	99	
Remsenburg-Speonk	2,187	17.08%	340	15.54%	1,868	259	13.87%	15	86	158	
Riverside-Flanders	5,712	5.78%	1,065	18.64%	5,400	898	16.63%	53	271	574	
Sag Harbor V.	1,870	10.91%	387	20.68%	1,686	311	18.45%	32	108	171	
Shinnecock	297	0.00%	53	17.74%	297	47	15.82%	2	6	39	
Shinnecock Hills	3,079	31.36%	576	18.70%	2,344	391	16.68%	22	96	273	
Southampton V.	4,171	4.28%	1,040	24.94%	4,000	890	22.25%	124	262	504	
Tuckahoe	1,022	7.24%	197	19.29%	953	164	17.21%	8	41	115	
Watermill & Sagaponak	1,195	23.58%	247	20.64%	967	178	18.41%	14	54	110	
Westhampton	3,461	24.77%	441	12.73%	2,774	315	11.36%	21	94	200	
Westhampton Beach (U)	1,805	10.80%	208	11.50%	39	4	10.26%	0	1	3	
Westhampton Beach V.	19	-51.28%	4	21.54%	1,629	313	19.21%	20	84	209	
<hr/>											
Southold Town	21,003	9.55%	5,445	25.93%	19,172	4,444	23.18%	392	1,318	2,734	
Cutchogue-New Suffolk	2,964	6.31%	760	25.66%	2,788	638	22.88%	34	174	430	
Fishers Island	405	27.36%	81	20.10%	318	57	17.92%	2	18	37	
Greenport V.	2,475	8.89%	729	29.45%	2,273	597	26.26%	123	197	277	
Greenport West	1,792	14.07%	492	27.47%	1,571	385	24.51%	28	94	263	
Laurel	1,079	12.16%	190	17.60%	962	151	15.70%	9	44	98	
Mattituck	4,270	8.85%	905	21.20%	3,923	742	18.91%	56	226	460	
Orient-East Marion	1,573	4.10%	468	29.75%	1,511	401	26.54%	30	103	268	
Peconic	1,249	18.28%	256	20.49%	1,056	193	18.28%	10	59	124	
Southold	5,196	8.93%	1,563	30.08%	4,770	1,280	26.83%	100	403	777	

PERSONS 65 AND OVER IN HOUSEHOLDS BY HOUSEHOLD TYPE, 1980 BY COUNTY, TOWN, VILLAGE AND CENSUS DESIGNATED PLACES (CDPs)

PERSONS AGED SIXTY-FIVE AND OVER													
County & Town Village or CDP	1980 TOTAL POPULATION	Total Number of Elderly	Percent of Total Persons	House- holder Total	Spouse Relative	Other Relative	Non- Rel.	Total H'holder	Male H'holder	Female H'holder	Non- Relative	Total In Group	Other Quarters
Suffolk County	1,284,231	115,828	9.02%	79,115	35,822	22,552	19,804	937	25,007	5,065	19,011	931	11,706
Babylon Town	203,483	16,344	8.03%	11,979	5,334	3,036	3,403	206	3,470	680	2,614	176	895
Amityville (U)	43	3	6.98%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Amityville V.	9,076	1,519	16.74%	797	388	248	149	12	366	71	273	22	356
Babylon V.	12,388	1,515	12.23%	944	450	264	218	12	404	76	307	21	167
Copliague	20,132	1,709	8.49%	1,340	601	345	373	21	369	70	282	17	0
Deer Park	30,394	1,953	6.43%	1,622	647	370	588	17	331	57	266	8	0
East Farmingdale	5,522	373	6.75%	279	139	84	55	1	94	15	74	5	0
Gilgo, Oak Beh.,									0				
Captree Is.	425	39	9.18%	31	16	12	3	0	8	1	7	0	0
Lindenhurst V.	26,919	2,309	8.58%	1,702	794	456	443	9	570	116	429	25	37
N. Amityville	13,140	1,138	8.66%	719	366	188	125	40	270	51	193	26	149
N. Babylon	19,019	1,271	6.68%	1,068	441	222	393	12	203	33	163	7	0
N. Lindenhurst	11,511	717	6.23%	574	245	136	185	8	143	37	96	10	0
W. Babylon	41,699	3,250	7.79%	2,457	1,073	624	716	44	612	127	461	24	181
Wyandanch	13,215	548	4.15%	446	174	87	155	30	100	26	63	11	2
Brookhaven Town (1)	365,015	30,654	8.40%	21,629	9,979	6,605	4,820	225	7,150	1,438	5,486	226	1,875
Belle Terre V.	826	63	7.63%	48	20	11	16	1	15	1	14	0	0
Bellport V.	2,809	322	11.46%	240	116	71	49	4	82	19	63	0	0
Blue Point	4,096	480	11.72%	331	155	93	81	2	117	28	86	3	32
Brookhaven	3,050	293	9.61%	175	76	44	55	0	59	15	43	1	59
Brhvn. National Lab	205	8	3.90%	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	6

PERSONS 65 AND OVER IN HOUSEHOLDS BY HOUSEHOLD TYPE, 1980 BY COUNTY, TOWN, VILLAGE AND CENSUS DESIGNATED PLACES (CDPs)

P E R S O N S A G E D S I X T Y - F I V E A N D O V E R															
1980		Total Number of Elderly	Percent of Total Persons	In Family Households				In Non-Family Household				In Group Quarters			
County & Town Village or CDP	TOTAL POPULATION			House- holder	Spouse	Other Relative	Non- Rel.	Total H'holder	Male H'holder	Female H'holder	Relative	Total	Inmate	Other	
Brookhaven Town (1) (Cont'd.)															
Center Moriches	5,703	692	12.13%	508	254	165	84	5	176	45	122	9	8	1	7
Centereach	30,136	1,485	4.93%	1,198	434	267	480	17	216	40	161	15	71	71	0
Coram	24,752	1,622	6.55%	1,078	493	317	262	6	287	51	222	14	257	257	0
E. Moriches-Eastport	4,890	640	13.09%	390	202	126	60	2	154	27	122	5	93	93	0
E. Patchogue	18,139	2,350	12.96%	1,481	742	479	244	16	618	95	511	12	251	232	19
E. Shoreham	3,817	188	4.93%	163	67	44	52	0	25	6	19	0	0	0	0
Farmingville	13,398	927	6.92%	766	345	232	185	4	161	38	117	6	0	0	0
Fire Island	83	10	12.05%	7	3	4	0	0	3	2	1	0	0	0	0
Gordon Heights	1,590	165	10.38%	120	55	39	19	7	44	9	28	7	1	0	1
Holbrook	4,899	260	5.31%	215	74	39	87	15	45	19	26	0	0	0	0
Holtsville	11,073	544	4.91%	444	179	107	153	5	100	31	65	4	0	0	0
Lake Grove V.	9,692	754	7.78%	573	259	185	126	3	181	40	133	8	0	0	0
Lake Ronkonkoma	14,305	1,514	10.58%	877	389	269	205	14	433	66	354	13	204	166	38
Manorville	6,548	401	6.12%	293	146	98	48	1	108	36	67	5	0	0	0
Mastic	10,413	639	6.14%	493	235	142	108	8	146	47	96	3	0	0	0
Mastic Beach	8,318	1,100	13.22%	805	398	291	111	5	295	85	203	7	0	0	0
Medford	20,418	870	4.26%	718	312	178	217	11	144	28	109	7	8	3	5
Middle Island	5,703	639	11.20%	294	133	96	63	2	113	33	80	0	232	232	0
Miller Place	7,877	619	7.86%	458	200	133	121	4	161	29	126	6	0	0	0
Mount Sinai	6,591	374	5.67%	297	127	87	79	4	67	12	52	3	10	6	4
N. Bellport	7,432	299	4.02%	244	109	49	79	7	55	16	37	2	0	0	0
N. Patchogue	7,126	602	8.45%	420	203	130	85	2	142	39	102	1	40	40	0
Old Field V.	829	97	11.70%	81	43	25	12	1	16	5	10	1	0	0	0
Patchogue	11,291	1,583	14.02%	908	463	284	149	12	625	105	495	25	50	27	23

PERSONS 65 AND OVER IN HOUSEHOLDS BY HOUSEHOLD TYPE, 1980 BY COUNTY, TOWN, VILLAGE AND CENSUS DESIGNATED PLACES (CDPs)

P E R S O N S A G E D S I X T Y - F I V E A N D O V E R													
County & Town Village or CDP	1980 TOTAL POPULATION	Total Number of Elderly	Percent of Total Persons	In Family Households			In Non-Family Household			Total	Inmate	Other	
				House- holder	Spouse	Other Relative	Non- Rel.	Total H'holder	Male H'holder				Female H'holder
B R O O K H A V E N T O W N (1) (C o n t ' d .)													
Poospatuck	203	17	8.37%	15	9	2	4	0	2	0	1	0	0
Poquott V.	588	96	16.33%	78	42	23	12	1	18	3	12	0	0
Pt. Jefferson Sta.	17,009	1,048	6.16%	821	324	215	270	12	209	28	167	14	18
Pt. Jefferson V.	6,731	929	13.80%	381	185	117	75	4	177	36	137	4	371
Ridge	8,977	2,513	27.99%	1,766	911	707	145	3	718	85	626	7	29
Rocky Point	7,012	1,164	16.60%	880	441	327	107	5	284	67	209	8	0
Selden	17,259	1,081	6.26%	778	336	210	218	14	357	57	240	0	0
Setauket-E. Setauket	10,176	548	5.39%	447	192	116	135	4	101	18	78	5	0
Shirley	18,072	1,119	6.19%	909	426	263	208	12	210	58	140	12	0
Shoreham V.	555	47	8.47%	40	20	14	5	1	7	1	6	0	0
Sound Beach	8,071	955	11.83%	734	355	261	114	4	207	57	149	1	14
Stony Brook	16,155	1,298	8.03%	1,010	442	301	260	7	288	53	230	5	0
SUNY @ Stony Brook	6,238	10	0.16%	8	3	3	2	0	2	1	1	0	0
Yaphank	2,812	425	15.11%	226	111	67	47	1	78	17	58	3	121
E A S T H A M P T O N T O W N													
Amagansett	14,029	2,661	18.97%	1,844	956	655	212	21	788	181	580	27	29
East Hampton V.	2,188	362	16.54%	253	138	78	35	2	109	18	87	4	0
Gardiners Is.	1,886	496	26.30%	284	156	102	20	6	183	32	143	8	29
Montauk	4	0	0.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Napeague-Hither Hills	2,828	489	17.29%	371	190	130	48	3	118	29	86	3	0
Northwest Harbor	151	38	25.17%	22	13	7	2	0	16	9	7	0	0
Sag Harbor V.	2,459	448	18.22%	311	158	122	29	2	137	29	106	2	0
Springs	895	208	23.24%	142	71	45	24	2	66	13	50	3	0
	3,197	520	16.27%	392	195	146	45	6	128	44	78	6	0

PERSONS 65 AND OVER IN HOUSEHOLDS BY HOUSEHOLD TYPE, 1980 BY COUNTY, TOWN, VILLAGE AND CENSUS DESIGNATED PLACES (CDPs)

***** PERSONS AGED SIXTY-FIVE AND OVER *****														

County & Town Village or CDP	1980 TOTAL POPULATION	Total Percent			In Family Households			In Non-Family Household			In Group Quarters			
		Number of	of	Total	House-	Spouse	Other	Non-	Total	Male	Female	Relative	Total	Inmate Other
		Elderly	Persons	Persons	holder		Relative	Rel.		H'holder	H'holder			
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
East Hampton Town (Cont'd.)	421	100	23.75%	69	35	25	9	0	31	7	23	1	0	0
Wainwright														
Huntington Town	201,512	15,809	7.85%	11,406	4,924	2,904	3,460	118	3,185	583	2,490	112	1,218	1,092
Asharoken V.	635	79	12.44%	65	31	24	8	2	14	2	10	2	0	0
Centerport	6,576	644	9.79%	447	218	122	104	3	138	20	116	2	59	59
Cold Spring Harbor	5,336	502	9.41%	414	182	122	108	2	88	13	70	5	0	0
Commack	13,687	616	4.50%	555	183	101	264	7	58	14	40	4	3	3
Dix Hills	26,693	1,230	4.61%	1,040	331	203	500	6	121	26	91	4	69	22
E. Northport	20,187	1,626	8.05%	1,200	548	319	319	14	351	61	273	17	75	71
Eatons Neck	1,574	82	5.21%	70	32	22	15	1	12	3	9	0	0	0
Elwood	11,847	723	6.10%	586	212	117	252	5	86	21	62	3	51	50
Fort Salonga	5,760	399	6.93%	318	136	96	86	0	81	24	55	2	0	0
Greenlawn	13,869	1,409	10.16%	815	365	212	225	13	303	58	239	6	291	291
Huntington	21,727	2,437	11.22%	1,594	757	443	386	8	662	112	534	16	181	127
Huntington Bay V.	1,783	128	7.18%	108	46	31	29	2	20	9	10	1	0	0
Huntington Station	28,769	2,550	8.86%	1,875	875	503	467	30	632	114	491	27	43	40
Lloyd Harbor	3,405	245	7.20%	158	73	46	37	2	36	8	27	1	51	47
Melville	8,139	436	5.36%	365	133	77	150	5	71	14	57	0	0	0
Northport V. A. Hosp.	650	156	24.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	156	155
Northport V.	7,651	818	10.69%	533	252	154	123	4	255	24	225	6	30	30
S. Huntington	14,854	1,216	8.19%	886	388	214	275	9	187	46	129	12	143	131
Suffolk Dev. Ctr.	2,241	71	3.17%	5	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	66	66
West Hills	6,071	442	7.28%	372	160	97	110	5	70	14	52	4	0	0

PERSONS 65 AND OVER IN HOUSEHOLDS BY HOUSEHOLD TYPE, 1980 BY COUNTY, TOWN, VILLAGE AND CENSUS DESIGNATED PLACES (CDPs)

PERSONS AGED SIXTY-FIVE AND OVER													
County & Town Village or CDP	1980 TOTAL POPULATION	Total Number of Elderly	Percent of Total Persons	House- holder	Total holder	Spouse	Other Relative	Non- Rel.	Total	Male H'holder	Female H'holder	Non- Relative	Total Inmate Other
Islip Town	298,897	23,355	7.81%	14,480	6,150	3,621	4,478	231	4,295	913	3,184	198	4,580
Bay Shore	10,784	1,670	15.49%	884	452	255	162	15	615	128	468	19	171
Bayport	9,282	723	7.79%	529	238	143	146	2	158	22	128	8	36
Bohemia	9,308	980	10.53%	622	296	177	144	5	209	44	153	12	149
Brentwood	44,321	2,381	5.37%	1,686	615	343	694	34	346	78	244	24	349
Brightwaters V.	3,286	385	11.72%	294	139	93	60	2	91	13	75	3	0
Central Islip	19,734	1,136	5.76%	818	365	195	230	28	312	63	233	16	6
CI Psych. Ctr.	2,194	1,137	51.82%	8	1	1	4	2	0	0	0	0	1,128
E. Islip	13,852	1,156	8.35%	790	351	193	240	6	212	42	160	10	154
Fire Island	236	15	6.36%	12	8	4	0	0	3	1	2	0	0
Great River	1,631	98	6.01%	78	31	24	23	0	20	8	12	0	0
Hauppauge	10,196	492	4.83%	402	132	81	184	5	79	19	57	3	11
Holbrook	19,483	778	3.99%	639	244	152	234	9	118	27	88	3	21
Holtsville	2,442	55	2.25%	46	17	11	18	0	9	1	8	0	0
Islip	13,438	1,339	9.96%	946	426	254	249	17	382	84	281	17	11
Islip Terrace	5,588	353	6.32%	264	99	63	97	5	89	21	67	1	0
Lake Ronkonkoma	19,692	1,094	5.56%	886	376	228	272	10	184	35	144	5	24
N. Bay Shore	35,020	1,982	5.66%	1,558	614	375	534	35	343	80	241	22	81
N. Great River	11,416	506	4.43%	441	177	97	156	11	65	18	42	5	0
Oakdale	8,090	574	7.10%	387	157	99	126	5	187	28	146	13	0
Ocean Beach V.	155	28	18.06%	17	8	7	2	0	11	3	8	0	0
Pilgrim Psych. Ctr.	3,865	2,018	52.21%	8	3	2	3	0	1	1	0	0	2,009
Saltaire V.	35	3	8.57%	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0
Sayville	12,013	1,079	8.98%	685	322	188	171	4	272	51	205	16	122
W. Bay Shore	5,118	380	7.42%	316	137	89	86	4	64	18	44	2	0

PERSONS 65 AND OVER IN HOUSEHOLDS BY HOUSEHOLD TYPE, 1980 BY COUNTY, TOWN, VILLAGE AND CENSUS DESIGNATED PLACES (CDPs)

PERSONS AGED SIXTY-FIVE AND OVER													
County & Town Village or CDP	1980 TOTAL POPULATION	Number of Elderly	Total Persons	House- holder	Spouse	Other Relative	Non- Rel.	Total H'holder	Male H'holder	Female H'holder	Non- Relative	Total	Inmate Other
Islip Town (Cont'd.)	29,533	2,299	7.78%	1,681	717	405	529	30	343	89	241	13	275
W. Islip													238
W. Sayville	8,185	694	8.48%	483	225	142	114	2	179	39	134	6	32
Riverhead Town	20,243	3,902	19.28%	2,588	1,340	937	303	8	1,007	218	747	42	307
Aquebogue	895	132	14.75%	95	53	31	11	0	37	12	24	1	0
Calverton-Roanoke	4,952	1,514	30.57%	984	513	388	82	1	359	75	268	16	171
Jamesport	1,069	238	22.26%	174	81	68	25	0	59	13	46	0	5
Northville	2,583	319	12.35%	225	121	79	25	0	65	17	46	2	29
Riverhead	6,339	1,148	18.11%	718	379	227	105	7	331	63	248	20	99
Wading River	4,405	551	12.51%	392	193	144	55	0	156	38	115	3	3
Shelter Island Town	2,071	620	29.94%	458	242	183	33	0	146	28	117	1	16
Dering Harbor V.	16	4	25.00%	4	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shelter Island Heights	940	373	39.68%	273	145	111	17	0	84	16	68	0	16
Shelter Island	1,115	243	21.79%	181	95	70	16	0	62	12	49	1	0
Smithtown Town	116,663	9,939	8.52%	5,886	2,289	1,452	2,100	45	1,542	250	1,241	51	2,511
Commack	21,032	1,038	4.94%	879	289	172	411	7	159	18	137	4	0
Fort Salonga	3,790	302	7.97%	266	109	69	85	3	30	7	22	1	6
Hauppauge	10,764	585	5.43%	463	164	102	194	3	85	13	66	6	37
Head of the Harbor V.	1,023	95	9.29%	68	21	18	29	0	19	5	13	1	8
Kings Park	16,131	1,271	7.88%	832	347	197	281	7	219	39	173	7	220
Kings Park Psych. Ctr.	2,681	1,411	52.63%	11	4	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	1,400
Lake Ronkonkoma	4,339	323	7.44%	210	85	57	66	2	72	11	56	5	41

PERSONS 65 AND OVER IN HOUSEHOLDS BY HOUSEHOLD TYPE, 1980 BY COUNTY, TOWN, VILLAGE AND CENSUS DESIGNATED PLACES (CDPs)

PERSONS AGED SIXTY-FIVE AND OVER

County & Town Village or CDP	1980 TOTAL POPULATION	Total Number of Elderly	Percent of Total Persons	In Family Households				In Non-Family Household				Total	Inmate	Other
				Total	House- holder	Spouse	Other Relative	Non- Rel.	Total	H'holder	Male	Female	Non- Relative	
Smithtown Town (Cont'd.)														
Nesconset	10,706	582	5.44%	470	179	124	160	7	112	26	80	6	0	0
Nissequogue V.	1,462	73	4.99%	53	19	14	20	0	20	4	16	0	0	0
Smithtown	30,906	2,473	8.00%	1,609	611	381	603	14	510	65	433	12	354	25
St. James	12,122	1,674	13.81%	930	420	287	221	2	299	60	231	8	445	0
Village of the Branch	1,707	112	6.56%	95	41	29	25	0	17	2	14	1	0	0
Southampton Town (1)	43,146	8,100	18.77%	5,741	2,991	2,047	642	61	2,260	538	1,660	62	99	78
Bridgehampton	1,941	299	15.40%	192	102	69	18	3	107	26	77	4	0	0
East Quogue	3,668	776	21.16%	581	300	208	73	0	195	45	145	5	0	0
Hampton Bays	7,256	1,598	22.02%	1,171	597	422	140	12	427	117	296	14	0	0
Hampton Park	1,331	160	12.02%	124	67	42	15	0	36	14	22	0	0	0
North Haven V.	738	193	26.15%	145	73	51	21	0	48	15	32	1	0	0
North Sea	1,171	210	17.93%	177	91	73	12	1	33	10	23	0	0	0
Noyack	2,657	652	24.54%	514	260	193	53	8	138	36	99	3	0	0
Quogue	609	115	18.88%	65	41	21	2	1	50	16	31	3	0	0
Quogue V.	966	191	19.77%	129	71	43	14	1	62	16	46	0	0	0
Remsenburg-Speonk	1,868	259	13.87%	191	94	67	28	2	68	9	56	3	0	0
Riverside-Flanders	5,400	898	16.63%	621	328	226	60	7	277	57	213	7	0	0
Sag Harbor V.	1,686	311	18.45%	205	107	64	32	2	106	17	88	1	0	0
Shinnecock	297	47	15.82%	35	18	12	5	0	12	3	9	0	0	0
Shinnecock Hills	2,344	391	16.68%	286	146	101	31	8	102	33	67	2	3	0
Southampton V.	4,000	890	22.25%	531	290	182	51	8	281	47	223	11	78	0
Tuckahoe	953	164	17.21%	116	56	43	16	1	48	13	35	0	0	0
Watermill & Sagaponak	967	178	18.41%	122	64	44	12	2	38	10	27	1	18	0

PERSONS AGED SIXTY-FIVE AND OVER

-43-

SRHHTYPE

SENIOR CITIZEN STUDY:

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES MAILED AND RECEIVED BY ZIP CODE (1)

Post Office	Zip Code	Number of Surveys		Percent of Surveys Returned
		Mailed	Received	
Amityville	11701	246	18	7.32%
Babylon	11702	219	7	3.20%
North Babylon	11703	245	15	6.12%
West Babylon	11704	327	36	11.01%
Bayport	11705	79	9	11.39%
Bay Shore, Kismet, Saltaire	11706	582	33	5.67%
Bellport	11713	58	2	3.45%
Blue Point	11715	56	3	5.36%
Bohemia	11716	110	9	8.18%
Brentwood	11717	327	21	6.42%
Brightwaters	11718	53	10	18.87%
Brookhaven	11719	34	1	2.94%
Centereach	11720	188	6	3.19%
Centerport	11721	97	6	6.19%
Central Islip	11722	237	17	7.17%
Cold Spring Harbor	11724	19	2	10.53%
Commack	11725	191	16	8.38%
Copiague	11726	175	12	6.86%
Coram	11727	150	8	5.33%
Deer Park	11729	248	11	4.44%
East Islip	11730	170	9	5.29%
East Northport, Elwood	11731	272	16	5.88%
Setauket, E. Set.	11733	113	9	7.96%
Farmingdale, S. Farm.	11735	25	2	8.00%
Farmingville	11738	88	4	4.55%
Great River	11739	13	2	15.38%
Greenlawn	11740	97	7	7.22%
Holbrook	11741	101	6	5.94%
Holtsville	11742	54	1	1.85%
Huntington, Halesite,	11743	481	33	6.86%
Huntington Station, Dix Hills	11746	576	43	7.47%
Melville	11747	34	6	17.65%
Islip	11751	166	14	8.43%
Islip Terrace	11752	99	3	3.03%
Kings Park	11754	145	8	5.52%
Lake Grove	11755	57	0	0.00%
Lindenhurst	11757	530	38	7.17%
Medford	11763	151	15	9.93%
Miller Place	11764	66	5	7.58%
Mount Sinai	11766	42	3	7.14%
Nesconset	11767	79	3	3.80%
Northport	11768	192	25	13.02%
Oakdale	11769	93	6	6.45%

SENIOR CITIZEN STUDY:

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES MAILED AND RECEIVED BY ZIP CODE (1)

Post Office -----	Zip Code -----	Number of Surveys -----		Percent of Surveys Returned
		Mailed	Received	
Ocean Beach	11770	11	1	9.09%
Patchogue, E. Patch.	11772	459	29	6.32%
Port Jefferson St.	11776	116	10	8.62%
Port Jefferson	11777	73	4	5.48%
Rocky Point	11778	127	5	3.94%
Ronkonkoma, L. Ronk.	11779	346	18	5.20%
Saint James	11780	206	10	4.85%
Sayville, FI Pines	11782	170	14	8.24%
Selden	11784	135	6	4.44%
Shoreham	11786	37	4	10.81%
Smithtown	11787	398	25	6.28%
Hauppauge	11788	42	10	23.81%
Sound Beach	11789	78	2	2.56%
Stony Brook	11790	140	15	10.71%
Wading River	11792	76	4	5.26%
West Islip	11795	359	33	9.19%
West Sayville	11796	39	2	5.13%
Wyandanch, Wh. Hgts.	11798	71	2	2.82%
Riverhead	11901	407	25	6.14%
Amagansett	11930	26	4	15.38%
Aquebogue	11931	23	2	8.70%
Bridgehampton	11932	28	4	14.29%
Calverton	11933	91	6	6.59%
Center Moriches	11934	75	9	12.00%
Cutchogue	11935	65	3	4.62%
East Hampton	11937	167	16	9.58%
East Marion	11939	18	4	22.22%
East Moriches	11940	28	6	21.43%
Eastport	11941	30	4	13.33%
East Quogue	11942	78	10	12.82%
Greenport	11944	82	7	8.54%
Hampton Bays	11946	291	26	8.93%
Jamesport	11947	26	1	3.85%
Laurel	11948	9	3	33.33%
Manorville	11949	63	7	11.11%
Mastic	11950	64	5	7.81%
Mastic Beach	11951	155	9	5.81%
Mattituck	11952	95	6	6.32%
Middle Island	11953	65	6	9.23%
Montauk	11954	45	5	11.11%
Moriches	11955	10	0	0.00%
New Suffolk	11956	17	1	5.88%
Orient	11957	26	2	7.69%

SENIOR CITIZEN STUDY:

NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES MAILED AND RECEIVED BY ZIP CODE (1)

Post Office -----	Zip Code	Number of Surveys -----		Percent of Surveys Returned
		Mailed	Received	
Peconic	11958	12	1	8.33%
Quogue	11959	24	2	8.33%
Remsenburg	11960	18	3	16.67%
Ridge	11961	328	34	10.37%
Sagaponack	11962	4	0	0.00%
Sag Harbor	11963	157	7	4.46%
Shelter Island	11964	30	3	10.00%
Shelter Island Hgts.	11965	25	2	8.00%
Shirley	11967	138	15	10.87%
Southampton	11968	187	17	9.09%
South Jamesport	11970	7	0	0.00%
Southold	11971	157	15	9.55%
Speonk	11972	11	0	0.00%
Wainscott	11975	8	0	0.00%
Water Mill	11976	17	3	17.65%
Westhampton	11977	21	5	23.81%
Westhampton Beach	11978	60	2	3.33%
Yaphank	11980	26	1	3.85%
Unknown	99999	0	56	
TOTAL	104	13382	1031	7.70%

(1) The surveys were mailed on Friday, June 19, 1987, Bulk Mail.
The cutoff date for inclusion in this study was August 7, 1987.

SENIOR CITIZEN STUDY: NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES MAILED AND RECEIVED
ZIP CODES RANKED BY NUMBER AND PERCENT RECEIVED (1)

Post Office	Zip Code	No. of Surveys			Percent	
		Mailed	Rec'd	Rank	%	Rank
Babylon	11702	219	7	43	3.20%	92
Greenlawn	11740	97	7	44	7.22%	52
Greenport	11944	82	7	45	8.54%	37
Manorville	11949	63	7	46	11.11%	18
Sag Harbor	11963	157	7	47	4.46%	83
Calverton	11933	91	6	48	6.59%	58
Centereach	11720	188	6	49	3.19%	93
Centerport	11721	97	6	50	6.19%	64
East Moriches	11940	28	6	51	21.43%	5
Holbrook	11741	101	6	52	5.94%	67
Mattituck	11952	95	6	53	6.32%	62
Melville	11747	34	6	54	17.65%	7
Middle Island	11953	65	6	55	9.23%	30
Oakdale	11769	93	6	56	6.45%	59
Selden	11784	135	6	57	4.44%	84
Mastic	11950	64	5	58	7.81%	47
Miller Place	11764	66	5	59	7.58%	49
Montauk	11954	45	5	60	11.11%	19
Rocky Point	11778	127	5	61	3.94%	86
Westhampton	11977	21	5	62	23.81%	3
Amagansett	11930	26	4	63	15.38%	10
Bridgehampton	11932	28	4	64	14.29%	12
East Marion	11939	18	4	65	22.22%	4
Eastport	11941	30	4	66	13.33%	13
Farmingville	11738	88	4	67	4.55%	82
Port Jefferson	11777	73	4	68	5.48%	73
Shoreham	11786	37	4	69	10.81%	22
Wading River	11792	76	4	70	5.26%	77
Blue Point	11715	56	3	71	5.36%	74
Cutchogue	11935	65	3	72	4.62%	81
Islip Terrace	11752	99	3	73	3.03%	94
Laurel	11948	9	3	74	33.33%	1
Mount Sinai	11766	42	3	75	7.14%	55
Nesconset	11767	79	3	76	3.80%	89
Remsenburg	11960	18	3	77	16.67%	9
Shelter Island	11964	30	3	78	10.00%	26
Water Mill	11976	17	3	79	17.65%	8
Aquebogue	11931	23	2	80	8.70%	35
Bellport	11713	58	2	81	3.45%	90
Cold Spring Harbor	11724	19	2	82	10.53%	24
Farmingdale, S. Farm.	11735	25	2	83	8.00%	44
Great River	11739	13	2	84	15.38%	11

SENIOR CITIZEN STUDY: NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES MAILED AND RECEIVED
ZIP CODES RANKED BY NUMBER AND PERCENT RECEIVED (1)

Post Office	Zip Code	No. of Surveys			Percent	
		Mailed	Rec'd	Rank	%	Rank
Huntington Station, Dix Hills	11746	576	43	1	7.47%	50
Lindenhurst	11757	530	38	2	7.17%	54
West Babylon	11704	327	36	3	11.01%	20
Ridge	11961	328	34	4	10.37%	25
Bay Shore, Kismet, Saltaire	11706	582	33	5	5.67%	71
Huntington, Halesite,	11743	481	33	6	6.86%	56
West Islip	11795	359	33	7	9.19%	31
Patchogue, E. Patch.	11772	459	29	8	6.32%	61
Hampton Bays	11946	291	26	9	8.93%	34
Northport	11768	192	25	10	13.02%	14
Riverhead	11901	407	25	11	6.14%	65
Smithtown	11787	398	25	12	6.28%	63
Brentwood	11717	327	21	13	6.42%	60
Amityville	11701	246	18	14	7.32%	51
Ronkonkoma, L. Ronk.	11779	346	18	15	5.20%	78
Central Islip	11722	237	17	16	7.17%	53
Southampton	11968	187	17	17	9.09%	33
Commack	11725	191	16	18	8.38%	39
East Hampton	11937	167	16	19	9.58%	28
East Northport, Elwood	11731	272	16	20	5.88%	68
Medford	11763	151	15	21	9.93%	27
North Babylon	11703	245	15	22	6.12%	66
Shirley	11967	138	15	23	10.87%	21
Southold	11971	157	15	24	9.55%	29
Stony Brook	11790	140	15	25	10.71%	23
Islip	11751	166	14	26	8.43%	38
Sayville, FI Pines	11782	170	14	27	8.24%	42
Copiague	11726	175	12	28	6.86%	57
Deer Park	11729	248	11	29	4.44%	85
Brightwaters	11718	53	10	30	18.87%	6
East Quogue	11942	78	10	31	12.82%	15
Hauppauge	11788	42	10	32	23.81%	2
Port Jefferson St.	11776	116	10	33	8.62%	36
Saint James	11780	206	10	34	4.85%	80
Bayport	11705	79	9	35	11.39%	17
Bohemia	11716	110	9	36	8.18%	43
Center Moriches	11934	75	9	37	12.00%	16
East Islip	11730	170	9	38	5.29%	76
Mastic Beach	11951	155	9	39	5.81%	70
Setauket, E. Set.	11733	113	9	40	7.96%	46
Coram	11727	150	8	41	5.33%	75
Kings Park	11754	145	8	42	5.52%	72

SENIOR CITIZEN STUDY: NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES MAILED AND RECEIVED
ZIP CODES RANKED BY NUMBER AND PERCENT RECEIVED (1)

Post Office	Zip Code	No. of Surveys			Percent	
		Mailed	Rec'd	Rank	%	Rank
Orient	11957	26	2	85	7.69%	48
Quogue	11959	24	2	86	8.33%	41
Shelter Island Hgts.	11965	25	2	87	8.00%	45
Sound Beach	11789	78	2	88	2.56%	97
West Sayville	11796	39	2	89	5.13%	79
Westhampton Beach	11978	60	2	90	3.33%	91
Wyandanch, Wh. Hgts.	11798	71	2	91	2.82%	96
Brookhaven	11719	34	1	92	2.94%	95
Holtsville	11742	54	1	93	1.85%	98
Jamesport	11947	26	1	94	3.85%	87
New Suffolk	11956	17	1	95	5.88%	69
Ocean Beach	11770	11	1	96	9.09%	32
Peconic	11958	12	1	97	8.33%	40
Yaphank	11980	26	1	98	3.85%	88
Lake Grove	11755	57	0	99	0.00%	99
Moriches	11955	10	0	100	0.00%	100
Sagaponack	11962	4	0	101	0.00%	101
South Jamesport	11970	7	0	102	0.00%	102
Speonk	11972	11	0	103	0.00%	103
Wainscott	11975	8	0	104	0.00%	104
Unknown	99999	0	56			
TOTAL	104	13382	1031		7.70%	

(1) The surveys were mailed on Friday, June 19, 1987, Bulk Mail.
The cutoff date for inclusion in this study was August 7, 1987.

COUNTY OF SUFFOLK

Page 1



Michael A. LoGrande
SUFFOLK COUNTY EXECUTIVE

DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING

LEE E. KOPPELMAN
DIRECTOR OF PLANNING

June 1, 1987

Dear Suffolk County Resident:


The Suffolk County Planning Department has been directed by the S. C. Legislature to investigate the housing problems of senior citizens. The purpose of this study is to enable the County legislators to better understand the problems of elderly residents. The legislature is exploring a housing policy which could enable the elderly to remain in their own homes.

Your cooperation in completing the enclosed survey will provide information that is very important for the outcome of this study. This information is not available anywhere, therefore you are our only source for this information. The tabulation of the survey answers will be the basis for the study.

Your answers will be completely confidential. Please remove or black out the mailing label so that the survey contains no identifiers that it can be traced back to you. Please return your answers by refolding the questionnaire with the return address on the outside (page 3) and tape to close. No postage is necessary to mail your response.

If you have any questions concerning this survey, please contact Mrs. Carol Walsh at 360-5201.

Sincerely,


Lee E. Koppelman
Director

IF YOU DO NOT KNOW THE ANSWER TO A QUESTION, PLEASE ENTER ?

1. PLEASE ENTER YOUR ZIP CODE _____

OCCUPANTS OF HOME

2. How many people live in your home? (Please list relationship & age)

<u>Pers.</u>	<u>Relationship to self</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Pers.</u>	<u>Relationship to self</u>	<u>Age</u>
#3	Self	4. _____	# 9		10. _____
#5		6. _____	# 11		12. _____
#7		8. _____	# 13		14. _____

HOUSEHOLD INCOME (Rounded to the nearest \$1000.00)

The reason we are asking for the income information on an individual basis is that the death of one member of the household can have a major impact on the finances of the household. This is also why the income questions asks for the salary, social security, pension, and investment incomes separately.

<u>Person</u>	<u>Annual Salary</u>	<u>Annual Income from Soc. Security</u>	<u>Annual Income from Pension. Will this stop upon death?</u>	<u>Annual Inc. from Investment. Will this stop upon death?</u>
15. Self #3	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____ yes ___ no ___	\$ _____ yes ___ no ___
16. #5	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____ yes ___ no ___	\$ _____ yes ___ no ___
17. #7	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____ yes ___ no ___	\$ _____ yes ___ no ___
18. #9	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____ yes ___ no ___	\$ _____ yes ___ no ___
19. #11	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____ yes ___ no ___	\$ _____ yes ___ no ___
20. #13	\$ _____	\$ _____	\$ _____ yes ___ no ___	\$ _____ yes ___ no ___

HEALTH INSURANCE

21. Do you purchase Medicare Part A? yes ___ no ___

22. Do you purchase Medicare Part B? yes ___ no ___

23. Do you have additional health insurance through a pension plan? yes ___ no ___

24. Do you purchase a "wrap around" health insurance policy that will cover health care expenses not covered by Medicare? yes ___ no ___

Please continue to page 4

FOLD HERE WHEN QUESTIONNAIRE IS COMPLETED



NO POSTAGE
NECESSARY
IF MAILED
IN THE
UNITED STATES



BUSINESS REPLY MAIL

FIRST CLASS PERMIT NO.299 SMITHTOWN, NY USA

POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY ADDRESSEE

SUFFOLK COUNTY GOVERNMENT

Suffolk County Planning Department
Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, N.Y. 11788

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS

25. How many rooms are in your home? _____ rooms
26. How many bedrooms are in your home? _____ bedrooms
27. How many bathrooms are in your home? _____ bathrooms
28. Does your home have a summer or second kitchen? yes ___ no ___
29. Do you own your own home? yes___no___(If no skip to question 33)
30. When did you buy your home? _____
31. How old is your home? _____ years
32. What do you estimate your home to be worth now? \$_____
- Please skip to question 35.

COST OF LIVING IN A RENTED HOME

33. What is your monthly rent? _____
34. If you pay for your utilities (Electric, Telephone, Gas, etc.) what is your monthly cost? _____ (Please go to Question 59.)

ANNUAL COST OF LIVING IN OWN HOME: (Rounded to the nearest \$100.00)

35. Mortgage \$ _____
36. What balance remains on your mortgage? \$ _____
37. In what year will the mortgage be paid off? _____
38. Real Estate Taxes \$ _____
39. Do you claim a veteran's deduction? yes ___ no ___
40. Do you claim a disability deduction? yes___ no ___
41. Do you claim a senior citizen deduction? yes___ no ___
42. Homeowners Insurance \$ _____
43. Estimated cost of utilities (Gas, Telephone, Electric, Sewer, Garbage, Water) \$ _____
44. Estimated cost of maintenance & Repairs \$ _____
- (This would include appliance repairs, roof repairs, lawn service, gardening, heavy housecleaning, etc.)

ALTERNATIVE LIVING ARRANGEMENTS

In the event that you could no longer afford to own your own home, which living alternatives would you choose? Please number, in order of importance, your choices. (One is your first choice, number 2 your second, etc.) Please enter 0 if the alternative is unacceptable.

45. ____ Sell home and buy smaller home.
46. ____ Sell home and live in rented apartment.
47. ____ Sell home and live with child or other relative.
48. ____ Alter home to include an apartment which will generate additional income.
49. ____ Remain in home and rent rooms to generate additional income.
50. ____ Share home.
51. ____ Remain in home with income from a reverse equity mortgage (A reverse equity mortgage is when a bank loans a homeowner up to 80% of the value of the home. This loan is paid in annual or monthly payments, for a prescribed period of time (5-15 years) with principle and accumulated interest due the bank at the end of the time period or when the home is sold whichever is first.)
52. ____ Sell home and buy a coop or condominium
53. ____ Sell home and move to a retirement village
54. ____ Sell home and move out of state to less expensive area
55. ____ Sell home and move into a continuing care retirement community
56. ____ Congregate care
57. ____ Other (please explain) _____

58. How soon do you think this alternative might be necessary? _____

PLEASE GIVE US YOUR OPINIONS

59. Which payment that you pay is the most burdensome? _____

60. Which payment do you feel is the most unfair and why? _____

61. What do you feel government should be doing for you so that you can remain in your home? _____

If you feel you need more room for your explanation, please write on a separate sheet of paper and attach.

62. Other Comments _____

Thank you for completing this questionnaire. Please refold this form with the return address on the outside (page 3) and tape closed. No postage is necessary for mailing.

Suffolk County Planning Department
Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, N.Y. 11788

BULK RATE U.S. POSTAGE PAID PERMIT NO. 156 SMITHTOWN, N.Y. 11787

PERSONS, PERSONS PER HOUSEHOLD, AND RELATIONSHIP

Persons per Household	
Persons per Household	Total Households
1	284
2	596
3	104
4	21
5	13
6	6
7	0
8	3
9	1
10	0
TOTAL	1028

Total # of Questionnaires Received	1028
Average Number of persons per household	1.95
Average age of respondents	69.80
Number of respondents who provided age information	954

TOTAL Person #2			TOTAL Person #3		
Rank	Relationship	No. Avg. Age	Rank	Relationship	No. Avg. Age
1	Wife	441 66.21	1	Son	56 32.62
2	Husband	159 70.44	2	Daughter	46 31.39
3	Spouse	64 67.15	3	Mother	14 87.85
4	Son	28 42.18	4	Grandson	9 16.78
5	Daughter	18 35.76	5	Mother in law	6 88.50
6	Mother	8 86.63	6	Daughter in law	4 34.50
7	Friend	6 63.33	7	Grandaughter	2 26.50
8	Grandson	2 19.00	8	Wife	2 69.50
9	Father	2 85.00	9	Sister in law	2 82.50
10	Child	2 67.00	10	Husband	1 66.00
11	Brother	2 70.00	11	Sister	1 95.00
12	Grandaughter	2 20.00	12	Father	1 103.00
13	Housekeeper	1 45.00	13	Child	1 13.00
14	Brother in law	1 49.00	14	Housekeeper	0 0.00
15	Niece	1 26.00	15	Niece	0 0.00
16	Mother in law	1 94.00	16	Spouse	0 0.00
17	Sister	1 80.00	17	Cousin	0 0.00
18	Daughter in law	0 0.00	18	Friend	0 0.00
19	Cousin	0 0.00	19	Brother	1 55.00
20	Sister in law	0 0.00	20	Brother in law	0 0.00
	TOTAL	739 65.51		TOTAL	146 40.68

HOUSEHOLD INCOME BY AGE OF RESPONDENT AND NUMBER OF PERSONS PER HOUSEHOLD

ALL HOUSEHOLDS

AGE	NO. OF H.H.	AVERAGE H'HOLD INCOME	MAXIMUM INCOME IN RANGE	MINIMUM INCOME IN RANGE
60-64	178	\$21,286	\$175,000	\$2,100
65-69	283	\$29,882	\$110,500	\$1,596
70-74	198	\$27,116	\$118,000	\$1,200
75-79	120	\$27,744	\$123,000	\$3,600
80-84	67	\$24,734	\$65,000	\$7,800
85+	25	\$31,927	\$97,436	\$6,900
TOTAL	871	\$26,865	\$175,000	\$1,200

ONE PERSON HOUSEHOLD

AGE	NO. OF H.H.	AVERAGE H'HOLD INCOME	MAXIMUM INCOME IN RANGE	MINIMUM INCOME IN RANGE
60-64	23	\$19,187	\$65,000	\$4,000
65-69	39	\$14,934	\$65,400	\$1,300
70-74	54	\$14,746	\$64,779	\$1,000
75-79	31	\$13,439	\$32,000	\$4,100
80-84	39	\$12,312	\$45,000	\$3,612
85+	11	\$8,160	\$16,400	\$5,244
TOTAL	197	\$14,246	\$65,400	\$1,000

THREE PERSON HOUSEHOLD

AGE	NO. OF H.H.	AVERAGE H'HOLD INCOME	MAXIMUM INCOME IN RANGE	MINIMUM INCOME IN RANGE
60-64	33	\$41,714	\$175,000	\$9,060
65-69	42	\$31,817	\$98,000	\$8,000
70-74	12	\$23,155	\$42,000	\$7,536
75-79	8	\$33,519	\$99,000	\$4,288
80-84	1	\$40,850	\$40,850	\$40,850
85+	1	\$97,436	\$97,436	\$97,436
TOTAL	97	\$35,022	\$175,000	\$4,288

TWO PERSON HOUSEHOLDS

AGE	NO. OF H.H.	AVERAGE H'HOLD INCOME	MAXIMUM INCOME IN RANGE	MINIMUM INCOME IN RANGE
60-64	105	\$36,073	\$142,000	\$7,800
65-69	185	\$30,113	\$110,500	\$4,500
70-74	134	\$25,312	\$123,000	\$1,200
75-79	79	\$23,245	\$72,900	\$3,600
80-84	26	\$20,715	\$48,056	\$8,900
85+	13	\$15,680	\$27,468	\$6,900
TOTAL	542	\$28,283	\$142,000	\$1,200

FOUR OR MORE PERSON HOUSEHOLD

AGE	NO. OF H.H.	AVERAGE H'HOLD INCOME	MAXIMUM INCOME IN RANGE	MINIMUM INCOME IN RANGE
60-64	14	\$22,544	\$42,768	\$2,100
65-69	12	\$36,764	\$79,000	\$7,752
70-74	7	\$29,003	\$74,600	\$13,200
75-79	3	\$31,687	\$44,000	\$8,460
80-84	2	\$12,884	\$15,768	\$10,000
85+	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL	38	\$28,438	\$79,000	\$2,100

PROPORTION OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME FROM VARIOUS SOURCES BY NUMBER OF PERSONS PER HOUSEHOLD

Household Income	One Person Households			Two Person Households			Three or More Person Households			All Households		
	Household Income			Household Income			Household Income			Household Income		
	No.	Average	Maximum	Minimum	No.	Average	Maximum	Minimum	No.	Average	Maximum	Minimum
100% Salary	16	\$47,701	\$92,000	\$9,300	11	\$41,998	\$93,000	\$8,952	14	\$38,429	\$70,000	\$2,100
100% Social Security	13	\$11,249	\$20,000	\$2,400	38	\$9,836	\$20,000	\$1,596	15	\$12,280	\$24,400	\$3,984
100% Pension	2	\$21,000	\$24,000	\$18,000	7	\$22,528	\$29,904	\$15,444	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
100% Investments	1	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
S. S. & Pension	42	\$17,744	\$47,968	\$8,900	105	\$14,550	\$34,000	\$5,412	14	\$19,651	\$36,812	\$7,752
50% or more S. S.	18	\$28,170	\$52,600	\$15,600	40	\$25,021	\$53,000	\$13,000	2	\$28,053	\$32,436	\$23,670
Less than 50% S. S.												
S. S. & Investment	11	\$16,622	\$25,463	\$8,400	34	\$14,711	\$22,864	\$5,936	1	\$8,460	\$8,460	\$8,460
50% or more S. S.	11	\$27,829	\$40,300	\$18,520	10	\$45,858	\$109,600	\$11,344	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Less than 50% S. S.												
S. S., Pension & Inv.	23	\$19,132	\$30,705	\$9,000	53	\$19,607	\$29,232	\$9,842	7	\$21,396	\$41,808	\$12,467
50% or more S. S.	67	\$35,399	\$91,000	\$11,100	122	\$34,265	\$82,568	\$10,800	17	\$37,129	\$97,436	\$10,300
Less than 50% S. S.												
SS, Sal., Pens, & Inv.	1	\$19,960	\$19,660	\$19,660	2	\$34,000	\$34,000	\$34,000	2	\$24,968	\$26,739	\$23,196
50% or more S. S.	11	\$41,646	\$76,900	\$21,800	29	\$54,032	\$118,000	\$18,264	14	\$49,956	\$99,000	\$21,340
Less than 50% S. S.												
S. S., Salary & Pen.	1	\$21,600	\$21,600	\$21,600	2	\$23,508	\$23,508	\$23,508	4	\$24,569	\$39,576	\$15,768
50% or more S. S.	12	\$37,513	\$89,400	\$13,616	14	\$35,421	\$89,400	\$12,780	17	\$38,608	\$79,000	\$18,000
Less than 50% S. S.												
S. S., Salary, & Inv.	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0	\$0	\$0	\$0
50% or more S. S.	6	\$47,250	\$123,000	\$19,400	12	\$42,164	\$72,000	\$23,934	5	\$36,400	\$44,000	\$26,400
Less than 50% S. S.												
S. S. & Salary	8	\$13,901	\$19,600	\$4,500	22	\$15,872	\$34,500	\$1,200	5	\$22,026	\$31,000	\$12,400
50% or more S. S.	8	\$41,714	\$110,500	\$1,700	35	\$30,097	\$110,500	\$8,460	11	\$41,913	\$127,500	\$9,060
Less than 50% S. S.												
No Social Security	12	\$56,212	\$100,000	\$9,089	14	\$62,929	\$142,000	\$37,000	9	\$57,376	\$175,000	\$9,700
50% or more Salary	3	\$45,200	\$65,000	\$15,600	6	\$23,933	\$34,000	\$15,600	4	\$26,004	\$45,000	\$7,536
Less than 50% Salary												

HEALTH INSURANCE PURCHASED BY AGE OF RESPONDENT TO THE SENIOR CITIZEN SURVEY

COMBINATIONS OF HEALTH INSURANCE PURCHASED	60-64	65-69	70-74	75-79	80-84	85+	TOTAL
Medicare A & B + Pension Ins.	13	77	52	30	10	5	187
Medicare A & B + Wraparound Insurance	6	53	49	36	14	5	163
Medicare A & B	7	27	32	19	17	6	108
Medicare A & B + Pension Ins. + Wraparound	6	39	21	13	6	2	87
Pension Ins.	69	6	6	0	2	0	83
Medicare A + Pension Ins.	2	28	15	8	0	2	55
None	34	3	2	1	1	0	41
Medicare A	2	11	11	4	9	3	40
Medicare A + Pension + Wraparound	2	12	9	3	0	0	26
Pension Ins. + Wraparound	20	2	4	0	0	0	26
Medicare A + Wraparound	4	6	6	4	4	1	25
Medicare B + Pension Ins.	2	6	7	1	2	0	18
Wraparound	8	6	1	0	1	0	16
Medicare B + Wraparound	1	4	0	1	0	1	7
Medicare B + Pension Ins. + Wraparound	0	3	2	1	1	0	7
Medicare B	0	2	0	4	0	0	6
TOTAL	176	285	217	125	67	25	895

SENIOR CITIZEN STUDY:

AVERAGE HOUSING VALUE RANKED BY ZIP CODE FROM SURVEYS WHICH REPORTED HOUSING VALUES

Rank	Post Office	Surveys Returned			Surveys Analyzed		Housing Values		
		Zip Code	No.	%	No.	%	Minimum	Maximum	Average
1	Cold Spring Harbor	11724	2	10.53%	1	50.00%	\$400,000	\$400,000	\$400,000
2	Shelter Island	11964	3	10.00%	2	66.67%	\$150,000	\$500,000	\$325,000
3	Great River	11739	2	15.38%	1	50.00%	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$300,000
4	Water Mill	11976	3	17.65%	3	100.00%	\$150,000	\$450,000	\$300,000
5	Shelter Island Hgts.	11965	2	8.00%	2	100.00%	\$150,000	\$400,000	\$275,000
6	Huntington, Halesite	11743	33	6.86%	27	81.82%	\$75,000	\$600,000	\$272,500
7	Northport	11768	25	13.02%	21	84.00%	\$100,000	\$800,000	\$263,810
8	Port Jefferson	11777	4	5.48%	4	100.00%	\$150,000	\$400,000	\$262,500
9	Quogue	11959	2	8.33%	2	100.00%	\$175,000	\$300,000	\$237,500
10	Greenlawn	11740	7	7.22%	6	85.71%	\$150,000	\$350,000	\$237,500
11	Southold	11971	15	9.55%	11	73.33%	\$100,000	\$350,000	\$236,364
12	Bridgehampton	11932	4	14.29%	4	100.00%	\$200,000	\$300,000	\$232,500
13	Ramseyburg	11960	3	16.67%	2	66.67%	\$180,000	\$275,000	\$227,500
14	Westhampton	11977	5	23.81%	2	40.00%	\$200,000	\$250,000	\$225,000
15	Centerport	11721	6	6.19%	5	83.33%	\$80,000	\$350,000	\$207,000
16	Smithtown	11787	25	6.28%	17	68.00%	\$60,000	\$600,000	\$200,882
17	New Suffolk	11956	1	5.88%	1	100.00%	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000
18	Mattituck	11952	6	6.32%	3	50.00%	\$90,000	\$350,000	\$196,667
19	East Hampton	11937	16	9.58%	15	93.75%	\$75,000	\$350,000	\$195,333
20	Hauppauge	11788	10	23.81%	7	70.00%	\$150,000	\$220,000	\$190,714
21	West Islip	11795	33	9.19%	23	69.70%	\$67,000	\$600,000	\$188,565
22	Brightwaters	11718	10	18.87%	6	60.00%	\$125,000	\$375,000	\$187,500
23	Stony Brook	11790	15	10.71%	10	66.67%	\$135,000	\$250,000	\$187,400
24	Westhampton Beach	11978	2	3.33%	2	100.00%	\$16,000	\$350,000	\$183,000
25	Sag Harbor	11963	7	4.46%	6	85.71%	\$65,000	\$400,000	\$182,500
26	Holtsville	11742	1	1.85%	1	100.00%	\$180,000	\$180,000	\$180,000
27	Southampton	11968	17	9.09%	10	58.82%	\$90,000	\$275,000	\$179,000
28	Nesconset	11767	3	3.80%	2	66.67%	\$175,000	\$180,000	\$177,500
29	Babylon	11702	7	3.20%	6	85.71%	\$65,000	\$350,000	\$176,667
30	Oakdale	11769	6	6.45%	4	66.67%	\$100,000	\$350,000	\$175,000
31	Sayville, FI Pines	11782	14	8.24%	10	71.43%	\$125,000	\$250,000	\$174,900
32	Melville	11747	6	17.65%	5	83.33%	\$80,000	\$300,000	\$170,000
33	Islip	11751	14	8.43%	11	78.57%	\$90,000	\$280,000	\$166,818
34	East Quogue	11942	10	12.82%	7	70.00%	\$100,000	\$200,000	\$165,000
35	Copliague	11726	12	6.86%	8	66.67%	\$85,000	\$300,000	\$164,375
36	Setauket, E. Set.	11733	9	7.96%	7	77.78%	\$20,000	\$300,000	\$163,571
37	Orient	11957	2	7.69%	2	100.00%	\$150,000	\$187,667	\$162,500
38	Commack	11725	16	8.38%	12	75.00%	\$70,000	\$195,000	\$161,667
39	East Northport, Elwood	11731	16	5.88%	13	81.25%	\$90,000	\$290,000	\$161,154
40	Huntington Station, Dix Hills	11746	43	7.47%	29	67.44%	\$12,500	\$300,000	\$159,397
41	Cutchogue	11935	3	4.62%	3	100.00%	\$48,000	\$300,000	\$157,667
42	Bayport	11705	9	11.39%	5	55.56%	\$100,000	\$200,000	\$150,000
43	Saint James	11780	10	4.85%	7	70.00%	\$100,000	\$200,000	\$148,571

SENIOR CITIZEN STUDY:

AVERAGE HOUSING VALUE RANKED BY ZIP CODE FROM SURVEYS WHICH REPORTED HOUSING VALUES

Rank	Post Office	Zip Code	Surveys Returned		Surveys Analyzed		Housing Values		
			No.	%	No.	%	Minimum	Maximum	Average
44	Miller Place	11764	5	7.58%	5	100.00%	\$110,000	\$170,000	\$148,000
45	East Moriches	11940	6	21.43%	3	50.00%	\$90,000	\$200,000	\$146,667
46	East Marion	11939	4	22.22%	3	75.00%	\$75,000	\$200,000	\$146,667
47	Amagansett	11930	4	15.38%	3	75.00%	\$105,000	\$175,000	\$143,333
48	Unknown	99999	56		36	64.29%	\$60,000	\$300,000	\$142,917
49	Lindenhurst	11757	38	7.17%	29	76.32%	\$90,000	\$250,000	\$140,483
50	East Islip	11730	9	5.29%	9	100.00%	\$100,000	\$198,000	\$140,333
51	Kings Park	11754	8	5.52%	4	50.00%	\$80,000	\$200,000	\$139,375
52	Laurel	11948	3	33.33%	2	66.67%	\$150,000	\$162,500	\$137,500
53	Blue Point	11715	3	5.36%	3	100.00%	\$60,000	\$200,000	\$136,667
54	Farmingdale, S. Farm.	11735	2	8.00%	2	100.00%	\$100,000	\$170,000	\$135,000
55	Port Jefferson St.	11776	10	8.62%	6	60.00%	\$100,000	\$195,000	\$135,000
56	Deer Park	11729	11	4.44%	11	100.00%	\$65,000	\$190,000	\$134,091
57	Farmingville	11738	4	4.55%	3	75.00%	\$65,000	\$250,000	\$131,667
58	Patchogue, E. Patch.	11772	29	6.32%	19	65.52%	\$55,000	\$275,000	\$131,605
59	Ronkonkoma, L. Ronk.	11779	18	5.20%	13	72.22%	\$75,000	\$200,000	\$130,385
60	Coram	11727	8	5.33%	4	50.00%	\$120,000	\$150,000	\$130,000
61	West Babylon	11704	36	11.01%	27	75.00%	\$30,000	\$250,000	\$127,778
62	Mastic	11950	5	7.81%	3	60.00%	\$100,000	\$150,000	\$126,667
63	Mount Sinai	11766	3	7.14%	2	66.67%	\$100,000	\$150,000	\$125,000
64	Islip Terrace	11752	3	3.03%	1	33.33%	\$125,000	\$125,000	\$125,000
65	Greenport	11944	7	8.54%	5	71.43%	\$100,000	\$175,000	\$124,000
66	North Babylon	11703	15	6.12%	9	60.00%	\$60,000	\$165,000	\$123,111
67	Montauk	11954	5	11.11%	5	100.00%	\$75,000	\$150,000	\$120,000
68	Shoreham	11786	4	10.81%	3	75.00%	\$100,000	\$150,000	\$116,667
69	Shirley	11967	15	10.87%	7	46.67%	\$85,000	\$150,000	\$116,429
70	Hampton Bays	11946	26	8.93%	16	61.54%	\$80,000	\$175,000	\$114,688
71	Eastport	11941	4	13.33%	2	50.00%	\$100,000	\$125,000	\$112,500
72	Bay Shore, Kismet, Saltaire	11706	33	5.67%	19	57.58%	\$30,000	\$300,000	\$111,179
73	Center Moriches	11934	9	12.00%	6	66.67%	\$85,000	\$175,000	\$110,833
74	Bellport	11713	2	3.45%	2	100.00%	\$80,000	\$140,000	\$110,000
75	Ridge	11961	34	10.37%	27	79.41%	\$50,000	\$200,000	\$105,667
76	Manorville	11949	7	11.11%	4	57.14%	\$95,000	\$125,000	\$105,000
77	Brentwood	11717	21	6.42%	17	80.95%	\$80,000	\$142,000	\$103,882
78	Selden	11784	6	4.44%	4	66.67%	\$10,000	\$140,000	\$102,500
79	Rocky Point	11778	5	3.94%	5	100.00%	\$80,000	\$130,000	\$102,000
80	Centereach	11720	6	3.19%	6	100.00%	\$75,000	\$130,000	\$100,500
81	Bohemia	11716	9	8.18%	6	66.67%	\$31,000	\$150,000	\$100,167
82	Yaphank	11980	1	3.85%	1	100.00%	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000
83	Ocean Beach	11770	1	9.09%	1	100.00%	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000
84	West Sayville	11796	2	5.13%	1	50.00%	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000
85	Jamesport	11947	1	3.85%	1	100.00%	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000
86	Medford	11763	15	9.93%	11	73.33%	\$35,000	\$150,000	\$97,182

SENIOR CITIZEN STUDY:

AVERAGE HOUSING VALUE RANKED BY ZIP CODE FROM SURVEYS WHICH REPORTED HOUSING VALUES

		Surveys Returned		Surveys Analyzed		Housing Values			
Rank	Post Office	Zip Code	No.	%	No.	%	Minimum	Maximum	Average
87	Mastic Beach	11951	9	5.81%	7	77.78%	\$45,000	\$156,000	\$95,857
88	Central Islip	11722	17	7.17%	12	70.59%	\$50,000	\$135,000	\$92,500
89	Amityville	11701	18	7.32%	14	77.78%	\$25,000	\$200,000	\$92,308
90	Middle Island	11953	6	9.23%	3	50.00%	\$50,000	\$120,000	\$90,000
91	Wading River	11792	4	5.26%	4	100.00%	\$25,000	\$125,000	\$83,750
92	Holbrook	11741	6	5.94%	2	33.33%	\$75,000	\$90,000	\$82,500
93	Riverhead	11901	25	6.14%	18	72.00%	\$15,000	\$225,000	\$78,889
94	Calverton	11933	6	6.59%	3	50.00%	\$60,000	\$89,000	\$76,333
95	Wyandanch, Wh. Hgts.	11798	2	2.82%	1	50.00%	\$75,000	\$75,000	\$75,000
96	Aquebogue	11931	2	8.70%	1	50.00%	\$60,000	\$60,000	\$60,000
97	Peconic	11958	1	8.33%	1	100.00%	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000
98	Lake Grove	11755	0	0.00%	0	0.00%			
99	Brookhaven	11719	1	2.94%	0	0.00%			
100	Sagaponack	11962	0	0.00%	0	0.00%			
101	Wainscott	11975	0	0.00%	0	0.00%			
102	Speonk	11972	0	0.00%	0	0.00%			
103	South Jamesport	11970	0	0.00%	0	0.00%			
104	Moriches	11955	0	0.00%	0	0.00%			
105	Sound Beach	11789	2	2.56%	0	0.00%			
TOTAL		104	1031	7.70%	737	71.48%	\$10,000	\$800,000	\$153,772

(1) The surveys were mailed on Friday, June 19, 1987, Bulk Mail.
The cutoff date for inclusion in this study was August 7, 1987.

SENIOR CITIZEN SURVEY:
AVERAGE NUMBER OF ROOMS BY PERSONS PER HOUSEHOLD

Persons per Household	Number of Persons	Average Number of Rooms
1	233	5.96
2	547	6.48
3	95	6.89
4	20	7.75
5	10	8.10
6	6	8.42
7	0	0.00
8	3	10.33
9	1	8.00
10	0	0.00
Total	915	6.46

SENIOR CITIZEN SURVEY:
NUMBER OF HOMES BY AGE OF HOME

Age of House	Number of Houses
<5	22
5-9	27
10-14	60
15-19	68
20-24	89
25-29	114
30-34	203
35-39	126
40-44	44
45-49	38
50+	108
Total	899
Average	33.17

DISTRIBUTION OF THE NUMBER OF BEDROOMS
BY THE NUMBER OF BATHROOMS IN OWNED HOMES

Number of Bedrooms	Number of Bathrooms						Total
	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	4 or >	
1	28	3	4	0	0	0	35
2	180	29	68	1	2	0	280
3	151	95	138	12	4	1	401
4	19	30	66	27	18	3	163
5	1	1	9	5	9	3	28
6	0	1	4	0	1	0	6
7	0	0	0	1	1	0	2
Total	379	159	289	46	35	7	915

YEAR HOME BOUGHT BY AGE OF HOME

Year Home Bought	Total	138 or >	Age of Home in Years							Total
			33-37	28-32	23-27	18-22	13-17	8-12	1-7	
1949 or Earlier	100	100								
1950-1954	138	30	108							
1955-1959	175	30	15	130						
1960-1964	100	18	3	13	66					
1965-1969	78	12	4	10	11	41				
1970-1974	76	11	3	8	6	40				
1975-1979	98	9	5	5	7	15	13	44		
1980-1986	93	5	3	7	12	13	16	7	30	
Total	858	215	141	173	104	75	69	51	30	858

AVERAGE MONTHLY RENT AND UTILITY COSTS BY NUMBER OF BEDROOMS

Cost of Rent and Utilities	Number of Bedrooms				
	0-1	2	3	4 or >	Total
No. of Units	24	12	2	1	39
Cost of Rent					
Average	\$464	\$513	\$675	\$500	\$491
Maximum	\$867	\$100	\$725	\$500	\$1,100
Minimum	\$161	\$75	\$625	\$500	\$75
Cost of Utility					
Average	\$80	\$112	\$126	\$300	\$145
Maximum	\$250	\$186	\$175	\$300	\$300
Minimum	\$25	\$60	\$8	\$300	\$25
Tot. Rent + Util.					
Average	\$544	\$624	\$801	\$800	\$636
Maximum	\$900	\$1,160	\$803	\$800	\$1,160
Minimum	\$198	\$165	\$800	\$800	\$165
No. Units incl Util.	6	3	4	3	19
Average	\$511	\$305	\$356	\$200	\$316
Maximum	\$1,260	\$408	\$500	\$300	\$1,260
Minimum	\$135	\$132	\$150	\$100	\$100
Average total Cost Rent + Utilities	\$537	\$560	\$505	\$350	\$540

AVERAGE, MAXIMUM, AND MINIMUM REAL ESTATE TAXES
PAID BY SENIOR CITIZEN HOUSEHOLDS, AMOUNT AND RANK BY ZIP CODE

Zip Code	Number of Homes	Average Real Estate Tax		Maximum Real Estate Tax		Minimum Real Estate Tax	
		Amount	Rank	Amount	Rank	Amount	Rank
11701	12	\$1,977	66	\$3,100	50	\$600	13
11702	6	\$3,075	18	\$4,800	20	\$1,500	60
11703	13	\$2,424	43	\$3,500	45	\$1,100	42
11704	31	\$2,546	37	\$4,500	26	\$1,000	31
11705	8	\$3,173	17	\$5,000	17	\$2,130	87
11706	21	\$2,528	39	\$3,500	42	\$1,300	53
11713	2	\$1,795	78	\$2,200	80	\$1,390	56
11715	3	\$1,933	69	\$2,500	69	\$1,100	44
11716	6	\$2,780	28	\$3,100	52	\$2,500	92
11717	20	\$2,177	52	\$3,700	39	\$1,057	39
11718	10	\$4,171	4	\$6,500	7	\$1,750	74
11719	1	\$3,000	23	\$3,000	59	\$3,000	97
11720	5	\$1,688	82	\$2,410	73	\$1,250	51
11721	6	\$3,555	11	\$6,000	10	\$1,800	77
11722	12	\$2,344	47	\$3,500	43	\$1,200	48
11723	1	\$2,400	45	\$2,400	75	\$2,400	90
11724	1	\$4,600	3	\$4,600	22	\$4,600	102
11725	15	\$2,544	38	\$4,000	32	\$1,220	50
11726	9	\$2,741	29	\$5,400	14	\$1,386	55
11727	4	\$1,980	65	\$2,440	72	\$1,600	64
11729	11	\$1,755	79	\$2,800	61	\$510	5
11730	8	\$3,247	15	\$4,000	30	\$2,850	94
11731	15	\$2,642	34	\$3,800	37	\$1,600	66
11733	8	\$2,919	25	\$4,918	19	\$800	19
11735	2	\$2,050	56	\$2,500	71	\$1,600	62
11738	4	\$1,200	96	\$1,500	98	\$900	22
11739	2	\$6,000	1	\$6,000	11	\$6,000	103
11740	7	\$3,779	9	\$6,400	8	\$2,000	85
11741	2	\$1,650	84	\$2,700	62	\$600	12
11742	1	\$1,900	73	\$1,900	92	\$1,900	80
11743	30	\$3,899	8	\$8,000	3	\$1,600	68
11746	39	\$2,854	26	\$5,300	15	\$700	16
11747	5	\$2,974	24	\$6,200	9	\$1,100	45
11751	13	\$3,719	10	\$5,242	16	\$400	3
11752	1	\$4,000	5	\$4,000	31	\$4,000	101
11754	7	\$3,039	20	\$4,150	29	\$1,123	46
11757	34	\$2,329	49	\$4,200	28	\$1,060	40
11763	12	\$1,830	74	\$2,600	65	\$1,100	43
11764	5	\$2,782	27	\$3,900	35	\$2,000	82
11766	1	\$2,500	40	\$2,500	70	\$2,500	93
11767	2	\$3,925	6	\$4,000	33	\$3,850	100
11768	24	\$3,263	14	\$7,000	6	\$800	20

AVERAGE, MAXIMUM, AND MINIMUM REAL ESTATE TAXES
PAID BY SENIOR CITIZEN HOUSEHOLDS, AMOUNT AND RANK BY ZIP CODE

Zip Code	Number of Homes	Average Real Estate Tax		Maximum Real Estate Tax		Minimum Real Estate Tax	
		Amount	Rank	Amount	Rank	Amount	Rank
11769	5	\$3,352	13	\$4,600	21	\$1,700	72
11770	1	\$3,200	16	\$3,200	49	\$3,200	99
11772	24	\$1,901	71	\$8,000	4	\$358	2
11775	1	\$3,000	22	\$3,000	58	\$3,000	96
11776	7	\$2,084	55	\$3,200	48	\$600	8
11777	4	\$5,954	2	\$10,000	1	\$3,100	98
11778	5	\$2,428	42	\$3,500	46	\$1,338	54
11779	13	\$2,013	59	\$3,000	55	\$1,200	47
11780	10	\$2,409	44	\$3,986	34	\$1,797	75
11782	10	\$2,740	30	\$3,600	40	\$1,000	35
11784	5	\$2,349	46	\$3,200	47	\$1,800	76
11785	1	\$3,000	21	\$3,000	54	\$3,000	95
11786	3	\$1,133	98	\$1,500	96	\$900	23
11787	19	\$3,370	12	\$6,000	12	\$1,654	69
11788	9	\$2,725	32	\$3,800	38	\$2,028	86
11789	1	\$546	103	\$546	103	\$546	7
11790	9	\$3,065	19	\$4,587	24	\$1,000	32
11792	3	\$1,401	90	\$1,800	93	\$1,002	36
11795	30	\$3,907	7	\$7,500	5	\$1,401	58
11796	2	\$2,340	48	\$2,400	74	\$2,280	88
11798	2	\$2,724	33	\$2,997	60	\$2,451	91
11901	14	\$1,309	91	\$3,000	57	\$170	1
11927	1	\$1,900	72	\$1,900	91	\$1,900	81
11930	3	\$1,407	89	\$1,679	94	\$1,042	38
11931	1	\$1,000	101	\$1,000	102	\$1,000	27
11932	4	\$1,804	76	\$2,600	64	\$1,261	52
11933	4	\$914	102	\$1,105	100	\$600	10
11934	8	\$1,588	86	\$3,100	51	\$1,000	33
11935	3	\$2,133	53	\$2,500	68	\$1,600	65
11937	15	\$2,013	58	\$4,500	27	\$900	25
11939	2	\$1,600	85	\$2,400	76	\$800	18
11940	5	\$1,905	70	\$3,500	41	\$900	24
11941	3	\$1,500	87	\$2,100	84	\$1,000	30
11942	7	\$1,707	81	\$2,500	66	\$800	17
11944	5	\$2,437	41	\$5,000	18	\$1,683	70
11946	23	\$1,211	94	\$2,000	87	\$657	15
11947	1	\$2,000	60	\$2,000	86	\$2,000	84
11948	3	\$2,032	57	\$2,500	67	\$1,600	63
11949	5	\$1,824	75	\$2,300	78	\$1,464	59
11950	2	\$1,944	68	\$2,200	79	\$1,688	71
11951	7	\$1,460	88	\$3,000	56	\$460	4
11952	4	\$2,630	35	\$4,500	25	\$1,600	67

AVERAGE, MAXIMUM, AND MINIMUM REAL ESTATE TAXES
PAID BY SENIOR CITIZEN HOUSEHOLDS, AMOUNT AND RANK BY ZIP CODE

Zip Code	Number of Homes	Average Real Estate Tax		Maximum Real Estate Tax		Minimum Real Estate Tax	
		Amount	Rank	Amount	Rank	Amount	Rank
11953	3	\$2,727	31	\$3,500	44	\$1,740	73
11954	5	\$1,007	100	\$1,937	90	\$650	14
11956	1	\$1,200	95	\$1,200	99	\$1,200	49
11957	2	\$1,300	92	\$1,600	95	\$1,000	29
11958	1	\$2,300	50	\$2,300	77	\$2,300	89
11959	2	\$1,969	67	\$3,037	53	\$900	26
11960	2	\$1,270	93	\$1,500	97	\$1,040	37
11961	31	\$2,201	51	\$9,276	2	\$1,000	28
11963	6	\$1,733	80	\$4,600	23	\$600	9
11964	2	\$2,000	62	\$2,200	81	\$1,800	78
11965	2	\$1,800	77	\$2,100	82	\$1,500	61
11967	11	\$1,987	64	\$2,600	63	\$840	21
11968	12	\$1,151	97	\$2,000	89	\$515	6
11971	13	\$2,102	54	\$3,800	36	\$1,000	34
11976	2	\$1,990	63	\$2,100	83	\$1,880	79
11977	4	\$1,650	83	\$2,000	85	\$1,400	57
11978	1	\$2,000	61	\$2,000	88	\$2,000	83
11980	1	\$1,100	99	\$1,100	101	\$1,100	41
99999	56	\$2,627	36	\$6,000	13	\$600	11
Total	860	\$2,509		\$10,000		\$170	

REAL ESTATE TAX DEDUCTIONS BY VALUE OF HOME

Real Estate Tax Deductions	Value of Homes											
	Less than \$50,000		\$50,000 to \$99,999		\$100,000 to \$149,999		\$150,000 to \$199,999		\$200,000 to \$249,999		\$300,000 or more	
	No.	Percent	No.	Percent	No.	Percent	No.	Percent	No.	Percent	No.	Percent

Percent distribution of Real Estate Tax Deductions by each Value of Home category

No Deductions	13	72.22%	66	48.89%	104	45.61%	79	41.15%	39	47.56%	12	35.29%	313	45.43%
Senior Citizen	2	11.11%	28	20.74%	17	7.46%	4	2.08%	6	7.32%	2	5.88%	59	8.56%
Veteran's	2	11.11%	34	25.19%	96	42.11%	100	52.08%	35	42.68%	17	50.00%	284	41.22%
Veteran's & Sr. Citizen	1	5.56%	6	4.44%	8	3.51%	6	3.13%	1	1.22%	0	0.00%	22	3.19%
Veteran's & Disability	0	0.00%	1	0.74%	2	0.88%	2	1.04%	1	1.22%	2	5.88%	8	1.16%
Veteran's, Sr. Citizen & Disab.	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	0.44%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	2.94%	2	0.29%
Senior Citizen & Disability	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	0.52%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	0.15%
Total	18	100.00%	135	100.00%	228	100.00%	192	100.00%	82	100.00%	34	100.00%	689	100.00%

Percent distribution of Value of Home by Real Estate Tax Deduction Category

No Deductions	13	4.15%	66	21.09%	104	33.23%	79	25.24%	39	12.46%	12	3.83%	313	100.00%
Senior Citizen	2	3.39%	28	47.46%	17	28.81%	4	6.78%	6	10.17%	2	3.39%	59	100.00%
Veteran's	2	0.70%	34	11.97%	96	33.80%	100	35.21%	35	12.32%	17	5.99%	284	100.00%
Veteran's & Sr. Citizen	1	4.55%	6	27.27%	8	36.36%	6	27.27%	1	4.55%	0	0.00%	22	100.00%
Veteran's & Disability	0	0.00%	1	12.50%	2	25.00%	2	25.00%	1	12.50%	2	25.00%	8	100.00%
Veteran's, Sr. Citizen & Disab.	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	50.00%	2	100.00%
Senior Citizen & Disability	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	100.00%
Total	18	2.61%	135	19.59%	228	33.09%	192	27.87%	82	11.90%	34	4.93%	689	100.00%

Proportion of Value of Home Category by Each Type of Deduction of the Total Number of Homes

No Deductions	13	1.89%	66	9.58%	104	15.09%	79	11.47%	39	5.66%	12	1.74%	313	45.43%
Senior Citizen	2	0.29%	28	4.06%	17	2.47%	4	0.58%	6	0.87%	2	0.29%	59	8.56%
Veteran's	2	0.29%	34	4.93%	96	13.93%	100	14.51%	35	5.08%	17	2.47%	284	41.22%
Veteran's & Sr. Citizen	1	0.15%	6	0.87%	8	1.16%	6	0.87%	1	0.15%	0	0.00%	22	3.19%
Veteran's & Disability	0	0.00%	1	0.15%	2	0.29%	2	0.29%	1	0.15%	2	0.29%	8	1.16%
Veteran's, Sr. Citizen & Disab.	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	0.15%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	0.15%	2	0.29%
Senior Citizen & Disability	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	0.15%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1	0.15%
Total	18	2.61%	135	19.59%	228	33.09%	192	27.87%	82	11.90%	34	4.93%	689	100.00%

AVERAGE, MAXIMUM AND MINIMUM COST OF TOTAL COSTS, REAL ESTATE TAXES, HOME OWNER'S INSURANCE, UTILITIES AND MAINTENANCE BY VALUE OF HOME

Value of Home	No. of Homes	Avg. Val. of Homes	Real Estate Tax			Homeowner's Ins.			Utilities			Maintenance			Total Costs		
			Avg.	Max.	Min.	Avg.	Max.	Min.	Avg.	Max.	Min.	Avg.	Max.	Min.	Avg.	Max.	Min.
\$50 K	5	\$33,600	\$1,100	\$1,600	\$300	\$366	\$500	\$230	\$520	\$900	\$150	\$788	\$2,000	\$240	\$2,774	\$5,000	\$920
\$50-99K	71	\$77,394	\$1,826	\$4,000	\$510	\$339	\$1,200	\$100	\$1,972	\$7,956	\$120	\$1,107	\$3,600	\$100	\$5,243	\$16,756	\$830
\$100-149K	129	\$115,829	\$2,241	\$5,000	\$400	\$376	\$1,000	\$125	\$2,202	\$9,000	\$100	\$1,146	\$5,000	\$150	\$5,965	\$20,000	\$775
\$150-199K	101	\$162,515	\$2,624	\$5,000	\$400	\$453	\$1,100	\$100	\$2,255	\$9,000	\$100	\$1,304	\$8,200	\$100	\$6,635	\$23,300	\$700
\$200-249K	53	\$205,377	\$3,035	\$5,600	\$600	\$500	\$1,250	\$100	\$2,595	\$7,500	\$100	\$1,703	\$6,000	\$200	\$7,832	\$20,350	\$1,000
\$250-299K	21	\$257,143	\$3,302	\$7,116	\$420	\$727	\$2,000	\$350	\$2,670	\$5,000	\$840	\$2,403	\$10,000	\$150	\$9,102	\$24,116	\$1,760
\$300+K	35	\$369,429	\$4,700	\$10,000	\$900	\$781	\$3,000	\$108	\$2,305	\$6,960	\$250	\$1,791	\$9,500	\$200	\$9,578	\$29,460	\$1,458
TOTAL	415	\$159,600	\$2,612	\$10,000	\$300	\$456	\$3,000	\$100	\$2,238	\$9,000	\$100	\$1,363	\$10,000	\$100	\$6,668	\$29,460	\$700

FIRST, SECOND AND THIRD CHOICES OF ALTERNATIVE LIVING ARRANGEMENTS BY AGE OF RESPONDENT

ALTERNATIVE LIVING ARRANGEMENTS	60-64			65-69			70-74			75-79			80-84			85+			TOTAL		
	1st	2nd	3rd	1st	2nd	3rd	1st	2nd	3rd	1st	2nd	3rd	1st	2nd	3rd	1st	2nd	3rd	1st	2nd	3rd
Sell home and buy a smaller home	33	29	10	34	29	18	21	10	10	9	7	5	5	1	2	3	0	0	105	76	45
Sell home and live in a rented apartment	16	21	12	41	31	19	24	48	11	22	7	6	6	6	1	2	2	0	111	115	49
Sell home and live with a child or other relative	2	7	11	9	14	9	10	12	8	4	7	4	8	4	2	4	0	1	37	44	35
Alter home to include an apartment which will generate add'l income	20	14	10	33	13	11	15	11	6	6	3	4	1	0	0	0	1	1	75	42	32
Remain in home and rent rooms to generate additional income	3	11	7	9	12	9	9	17	8	4	6	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	26	46	28
Share home	3	6	9	5	5	10	8	10	7	4	1	2	3	1	1	1	0	0	24	23	29
Reverse equity mortgage	9	3	9	11	7	11	14	8	3	4	5	3	2	1	0	2	0	0	42	24	26
Sell home and buy a coop or condominium	12	14	13	21	28	17	8	11	8	5	6	2	2	2	3	0	1	0	48	62	43
Sell home and move into a retirement village	7	20	20	15	26	23	14	16	10	9	4	5	3	3	2	0	0	2	48	69	62
Sell home and move out of state to a less expensive area	60	17	14	64	34	22	29	12	17	12	13	5	3	5	1	1	3	0	169	84	59
Sell home and move into a continuing care retirement community	1	2	4	3	7	10	7	9	5	3	3	5	4	3	3	1	2	3	19	26	30
Congregate care.	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	1	6	4
TOTAL	166	145	120	245	207	159	159	165	95	82	63	45	38	26	16	15	11	7	705	617	442

PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF FIRST, SECOND AND THIRD CHOICES OF ALTERNATIVE LIVING ARRANGEMENTS BY AGE OF RESPONDENT

ALTERNATIVE LIVING ARRANGEMENTS	60-64			65-69			70-74			75-79			80-84			85+			TOTAL		
	1st	2nd	3rd	1st	2nd	3rd	1st	2nd	3rd	1st	2nd	3rd	1st	2nd	3rd	1st	2nd	3rd	1st	2nd	3rd
Sell home and buy a smaller home	19.9%	20.0%	8.3%	13.9%	14.0%	11.3%	13.2%	6.1%	10.5%	11.0%	11.1%	11.1%	13.2%	3.8%	12.5%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.9%	12.3%	10.2%
Sell home and live in a rented apartment	9.6%	14.5%	10.0%	16.7%	15.0%	11.9%	15.1%	29.1%	11.6%	26.8%	11.1%	13.3%	15.8%	23.1%	6.3%	13.3%	18.2%	0.0%	15.7%	18.6%	11.1%
Sell home and live with a child or other relative	1.2%	4.8%	9.2%	3.7%	6.8%	5.7%	6.3%	7.3%	8.4%	4.9%	11.1%	8.9%	21.1%	15.4%	12.5%	26.7%	0.0%	14.3%	5.2%	7.1%	7.9%
Alter home to include an apartment which will generate add'l income	12.0%	9.7%	8.3%	13.5%	6.3%	6.9%	9.4%	6.7%	6.3%	7.3%	4.8%	8.9%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%	14.3%	10.6%	6.8%	7.2%
Remain in home and rent rooms to generate additional income	1.8%	7.6%	5.8%	3.7%	5.8%	5.7%	5.7%	10.3%	8.4%	4.9%	9.5%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	6.3%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	7.5%	6.3%
Share home	1.8%	4.1%	7.5%	2.0%	2.4%	6.3%	5.0%	6.1%	7.4%	4.9%	1.6%	4.4%	7.9%	3.8%	6.3%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%	3.7%	6.6%
Reverse equity mortgage	5.4%	2.1%	7.5%	4.5%	3.4%	6.9%	8.8%	4.8%	3.2%	4.9%	7.9%	6.7%	5.3%	3.8%	0.0%	13.3%	0.0%	0.0%	6.0%	3.9%	5.9%
Sell home and buy a coop or condominium	7.2%	9.7%	10.8%	8.6%	13.5%	10.7%	5.0%	6.7%	8.4%	6.1%	9.5%	4.4%	5.3%	7.7%	18.8%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%	6.8%	10.0%	9.7%
Sell home and move into a retirement village	4.2%	13.8%	16.7%	6.1%	12.6%	14.5%	8.8%	9.7%	10.5%	11.0%	6.3%	11.1%	7.9%	11.5%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%	28.6%	6.8%	11.2%	14.0%
Sell home and move out of state to a less expensive area	36.1%	11.7%	11.7%	26.1%	16.4%	13.8%	18.2%	7.3%	17.9%	14.6%	20.6%	11.1%	7.9%	19.2%	6.3%	6.7%	27.3%	0.0%	24.0%	13.6%	13.3%
Sell home and move into a continuing care retirement community	0.6%	1.4%	3.3%	1.2%	3.4%	6.3%	4.4%	5.5%	5.3%	3.7%	4.8%	11.1%	10.5%	11.5%	18.8%	6.7%	18.2%	42.9%	2.7%	4.2%	6.8%
Congregate care.	0.0%	0.7%	0.8%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	2.1%	0.0%	1.6%	2.2%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	18.2%	0.0%	0.1%	1.0%	0.9%
TOTAL	166	145	120	245	207	159	165	165	95	82	63	45	38	26	16	15	11	7	705	617	442
Percent	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

FIRST CHOICE OF ALTERNATIVE LIVING ARRANGEMENTS BY AGE OF RESPONDENT RANKED BY HIGHEST PERCENT

ALTERNATIVE LIVING ARRANGEMENTS	TOTAL		60-64		65-69		70-74		75-79		80-84		85+	
	Rank	%	Rank	%	Rank	%	Rank	%	Rank	%	Rank	%	Rank	%
Sell home/go to less expensive area	1	24.0%	1	36.1%	1	26.1%	1	18.2%	2	14.6%	7	7.9%	5	6.7%
Sell home/live in rented apt.	2	15.7%	4	9.6%	2	16.7%	2	15.1%	1	26.8%	2	15.8%	3	13.3%
Sell home and buy a smaller home	3	14.9%	2	19.9%	3	13.9%	3	13.2%	3	11.0%	3	13.2%	2	20.0%
Add apartment in home for income	4	10.6%	3	12.0%	4	13.5%	4	9.4%	5	7.3%	11	2.6%	11	0.0%
Sell home/move to retirement village	5	6.8%	7	4.2%	6	6.1%	6	8.8%	4	11.0%	6	7.9%	12	0.0%
Sell home/buy a coop or condominium	6	6.8%	5	7.2%	5	8.6%	9	5.0%	6	6.1%	9	5.3%	9	0.0%
Reverse equity mortgage	7	6.0%	6	5.4%	7	4.5%	5	8.8%	9	4.9%	8	5.3%	4	13.3%
Sell home/live w child or relative	8	5.2%	10	1.2%	8	3.7%	7	6.3%	7	4.9%	1	21.1%	1	26.7%
Rent rooms in home for income	9	3.7%	8	1.8%	9	3.7%	8	5.7%	10	4.9%	12	0.0%	6	6.7%
Share home	10	3.4%	9	1.8%	10	2.0%	10	5.0%	8	4.9%	5	7.9%	7	6.7%
Sell home/ move to CCRC	11	2.7%	11	0.6%	11	1.2%	11	4.4%	11	3.7%	4	10.5%	8	6.7%
Congregate care.	12	0.1%	12	0.0%	12	0.0%	12	0.0%	12	0.0%	10	2.6%	10	0.0%
TOTAL	Number	705	166	245	159	82	38	15						
	Percent	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

PROJECTED TIMEFRAME FOR FUTURE ALTERNATIVE LIVING ARRANGEMENTS BY AGE OF RESPONDENT

TIMEFRAME	60-64	65-69	70-74	75-79	80-84	85+	Total
One year or less	8	11	11	5	2	1	38
One to two years	8	17	10	4	1	1	41
Three or four years	3	12	9	9	5	2	40
Five years	10	23	12	9	7	3	64
Six to nine years	4	10	3	1	1	1	20
Ten years	9	14	6	7	6	1	43
Eleven to fifteen years	7	4	6	4	1	0	22
Sixteen to nineteen years	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Twenty-one to twenty-five years	1	1	7	0	1	1	11
Unknown	9	13	15	7	9	3	56
Never	4	1	7	1	1	1	15
When spouse dies	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Immediately	1	1	1	0	1	0	4
TOTAL	65	107	87	47	35	15	356
AVERAGE PROJECTED TIMEFRAME	6.40	5.17	6.51	5.55	6.50	6.15	5.90